Data in this profile was correct at time of production in November 2011. However, historical data is occasionally revised by police forces, so the data in the Profile may not match the latest published statistics.



Inspecting policing in the **public interest**

HMIC Value for Money Profiles 2011

Amended 9th November 2011

Staffordshire Police

compared with all forces in England and Wales

The forces in the most similar group can be identified in the charts in this section by using the key below

- a Staffordshire
- **b** Cheshire
- c Gloucestershire
- d Northamptonshire
- e Nottinghamshire
- f Suffolk
- g Warwickshire
- h Wiltshire

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of the profiles is to help you compare forces' performance and resources. The key features are:

- Designed for use by force management, police authorities (and PCCs) as well as HMIC.
- Contain a wide range of information, in a single easy to use document.
- Presented in a single format to enable you to target attention on the key differences which require explanation and action to improve.
- Timely being published during October, when key budget decisions are being taken.
- Not league tables or targets

The profiles and their database represents probably millions of individual judgements made by a range of staff from police officers at the scene of a crime, to accountants and HR staff. With so many human decisions, complete accuracy would be extremely costly. But there needs to be sufficient checking to make the data fit for purpose. It needs to be good enough for local users to identify meaningful differences.

The explanatory value of comparisons however also depends on more than just getting the numbers right. The categories themselves must not introduce distortions. And the cluster of data for each force needs to be compared with that for similar forces. The remainder of this introduction deals with each of these points.

- How the data has been checked
- How the crime and financial data is compared using a new taxonomy
- How forces are compared

Checking the data

The bulk of the non financial data has already been through local and national checking. And while the new Police Objective Analysis (POA) is now sufficiently mature to use in the profiles, it is still a large and difficult dataset to collect for each force. To bring it up to a useable standard, HMIC has made a considerable effort to improve quality by applying a systematic quality assurance process:

- Chartered Institute of Public Finance (CIPFA) applied arithmetic and reconciliation checks;
- each force was asked to check statistical outliers; and
- each force received a draft profile to check again, before the final profile you are reading was produced.

As a result of this checking process, just under half of forces made changes to the draft, a handful of forces have informed us of accounting errors, which they have been unable to fix in time. For example, fleet service's costs incorrectly allocated to transport costs. HMIC have strongly encouraged forces to make the necessary changes, but forces are responsible for the data they have contributed.

Cleveland and Essex provided some anomalous data, the former related to Finance and the latter related to Police Authority costs. The figures have been excluded from the averages.

New taxonomy for finance and crime data

Police Objective Analysis – Useful comparisons depend on unambiguous categories, clearly defined and correctly completed. The Police Objective Analysis (POA) which has been running for three years is included in this year's profiles, replacing a large part of the Home Office's Annual Data Return (ADR). The POA is better in a number of respects:

- more up to date groupings and definitions (called Objectives) than the ADR.
- more accurate allocation of subjective costs such as staff, supplies and services etc
- includes costs and staff numbers, while the ADR only counted staff.

One issue with this data arises where forces have engaged in joint working, for example in the provision of air support. In such cases the exporting force will show the gross costs of provision both to itself and to its partners. It should also show the corresponding income so that its net cost ought not to be distorted, and most of the comparisons shown here are of net costs. However its gross costs per head will be distorted, as will the national gross costs, since the cost of the exported services will have been counted twice. In the tables that show gross costs and income, some indication of this can be obtained where the level of income shown is noticeably higher than the average.

A similar distortion can occur where a service such as custody is bought in from the private sector. In this case the total gross cost will not be distorted, but its component costs, eg staff versus non-staff costs, will not be comparable.

For next year's profiles HMIC will work with CIPFA, ACPO Finance and Police Authority Treasurers to try to address this issue. One proposal is to introduce a new cost sub heading "collaboration payments" corresponding to the income heading which already exists. These could be netted off to avoid the exaggeration of gross costs.

Victim based crime - This year's profiles also include a better analysis of crimes and detections, by comparing separately victim based crimes from non victim based crimes. The latter includes state based crimes such as public order and cannabis offences which are often a reflection of police activity. Victim based crimes are further broken down in to four comprehensive crime types: violence, sexual, stealing and criminal damage & arson. Where we can, commercial crimes are highlighted. The crime mapper is also moving towards this taxonomy.

Like beauty, the validity of comparisons is often in the eye of the beholder. If you prefer to construct your own group or compare with a particular force, say with other forces implementing restorative justice, we can provide it. The standard profiles however, use the Home Office's most similar group average. These compare each force with a group of other most similar forces based on a basket of demographic variables. These variables are correlated with crime, fear of crime amongst other variables. So force differences, for instance in crime rates, could be attributed to the way the force is managed and crucially the relative level of resources.

We also use the MSG average for comparing costs and staffing. While it was not designed for this purpose, it does not seem unreasonable as a point of departure. Local market factors clearly affect cost comparisons too, but they do not affect the comparison of staff numbers – a PC is a PC whether in London or Suffolk. For support costs we present further charts to enable comparisons.

Adopting your suggestions

We also received about 75 written submissions on the how the profiles could be improved. We have tried to include as many as possible, the main suggestions were for better labelling and explanations.

Common queries

The three most common queries received are worth answering here. The first was about the rule applied to highlight particular differences. The difference is highlighted if the indicator puts the force in the top or bottom 10 percent and the effect of the difference is greater than £1 per head of population. The other query was about the population base. The profiles adopt the mid 2009 population estimate to align with Home Office publications especially crime rates. The third concerned changes in the way we calculate differences in the mix of staff, for example on page 55 - %age Police Officer. Rather than basing the calculation on ftes, we have used gross costs as the denominator for two reasons. First, it takes better account of comparisons where some forces have contracted out services, such as custody. Second, the costs of police officers vary between forces

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section One - Offences & Outcomes

Introduction

Recorded offences & sanction detections

- 10 All Crimes
- 12 Victim based
- 14 Violence Against the Person

Changes in recorded offences

- 22 All Crimes
- 23 Victim based
- 24 Violence Against the Person

Other indicators

- 28 Changes In Sanction Detections
- 29 Sanction Detections By Type
- 30 Changes In Detection Types

- 16 Sexual offences
- 18 Stealing
- 20 Non Victim Based
- 25 Sexual offences
- 26 Stealing
- 27 Non Victim Based
- 31 Charges
- 32 Overall Satisfaction and Perceptions of ASB

Section Two – Workforce and Costs

Introduction

Workforce

- 35 Workforce Summary
- 36 Workforce Trends
- Police officers by Rank 37
- Police Workforce and Crime 38
- 39 Arrest To Charge
- Force Breakdown against National Average 40

Overall expenditure

- 46 Expenditure Summary
- 47 Police officers
- 48 Police Staff and PCSOs
- Non-Staff Costs as % of Workforce Costs 49

Expenditure by objective

- 53 Workforce By Function
- 54 Net Revenue Exp By Function
- 55 Local Policing
- 57 Dealing With the Public
- 60 Criminal Justice Arrangements
- 63 Specialist Operations
- 77 Potential To Increase Frontline

- 41 Force Breakdown against Group Average
- 42 Leavers
- 43 Joiners
- Sickness & Other Long Term Absence 44
- 45 Police officers Length of Service
- Financing of Expenditure
- Earned Income
- 52 Funding Source Trends
- Intelligence 65
- Specialist Investigations 67
- 69 Investigative Support
- 71 Support Functions
- 74 National Policing
- 76 Central Costs

78 Data Recording

Appendices

- Appendix 1 Crime Codes 79
- 84 Appendix 2 POA Categories

HMIC


- 50
- 51

Section One - Offences & Outcomes

INTRODUCTION

This section focuses on criminal offences dealt with by each force and resulting outcomes. Crime and sanction detections are presented in a new format developed by HMIC in consultation with stakeholders as displayed below:

The intention is to differentiate between crimes that are victim based, and those that are driven by police activity:



Data is shown as offences per 1,000 population. Definitions of offences in each category can be found in Appendix 1.

Note that fraud and forgery are generally excluded from all crime as we recognise that the recording in this area is not as robust as other crime categories.

A sanction detection means that a recorded crime has been resolved by the police. The types of outcomes are:

- > Caution police have identified a suspect and issued them with a caution
- > Penalty notice for disorder a fine issued by the police for anti-social behaviour
- > Charge summons the suspect has been charged and/or brought to court
- > Taken into consideration (TIC) courts take this offence into consideration when sentencing for other crimes


Expected sanction detection volumes are calculated by modelling what force detections would be should the force align to the peer average.

Where averages are included these are the average of all forces excluding the City of London and Metropolitan police, (except in the profiles for these forces themselves). The averages quoted are simple unweighted averages and not weighted national averages.

This section also contains details on the most up to date arrest data, which is not published until April 2012.


Changes over time for crimes and sanction detections are measured against the baseline of 2008/09 due to the introduction of public order offences.

RECORDED OFFENCES - ALL CRIMES 2010/11 per 1,000 population



Population	1,068k				
	Offences	per 1000	Avg	Differe	nce
Victim based	61,589	57.7	57.8	-126	-0.2%
Non victim based	7,028	6.6	7.3	-786	-10.1%
Total exc fraud	68,617	64.3	65.1	-912	-1.3%
Fraud & forgery	2,273	2.1	2.0		
Grand total	70,890	66.4	67.1		

Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11



SANCTION DETECTIONS - ALL CRIMES

The actual % expected figures shows your detection rate as a percentage of the national average detection rate. Hence if above 100%, you are achieving more sanction detections than the national average.

150%

100%


50%


0%


Victim based

Non victim based


Total exc fraud









21,076







Actual % expected

18,113

68,617

Fraud & forgery	2,273	398	18%	30%	686	-288
Source: Home Offic						


26%

30%

Staffordshire

-2,963


RECORDED OFFENCES - VICTIM BASED 2010/11 per 1,000 population



page 12

Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11

Stealing



Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11

61,589

12,769

Stealing

Victim based offences

Staffordshire


15,224

-2,455

23%


21%

RECORDED OFFENCES - VIOLENCE AGAINST THE PERSON 2010/11 per 1,000 population



	Offences	per 1000	Avg	Differe	ence
With injury	8,495	8.0	6.3	1,822	27%
Without injury	7,524	7.0	4.6	2,610	53%
Violence against person	16,019	15.0	10.9	4,431	38%


Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11



ithe inclume						
ïth injury	8,495	3,388	40%	43%	3,679	-291
ithout injury	7,524	1,570	21%	42%	3,148	-1,578
olence against perso	16,019	4,958	31%	43%	6,827	-1,869
olence against perso	.,	4,958	31%	,.	-	

Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11

RECORDED OFFENCES - SEXUAL OFFENCES 2010/11 per 1,000 population



Other sexual offences

Sexual offences

9%

1%

59

7

0.65

0.91


0.70

0.92

748


980

Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11



	Offences	SDs	%	Avg	Exp	Diff
Rape	232	82	35%	26%	61	21
Other sexual offences	748	238	32%	33%	245	-7
Sexual offences	980	320	33%	31%	306	14

Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11



Stealing

30,559

Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11

28.6

33.2

-4,855

-14%

е


dh g bcf

а

5

0

SANCTION DETECTIONS - STEALING



Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11


Staffordshire

Robbery


Burglary

Stealing

RECORDED OFFENCES - NON VICTIM BASED 2010/11 per 1,000 population



Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11



g d f ac b

	Offences	SDs	%	Avg	Ехр	Diff
Drug trafficking	439	392	89%	90%	395	-3
Drug possession	2,659	2,539	95%	96%	2,552	-13
Drug offences	3,098	2,931	95%	95%	2,947	-16
Public disorder	2,714	1,641	60%	72%	1,956	-315
Crimes disrupted	736	516	70%	81%	597	-81
Other non victim based	480	256	53%	73%	352	-96
Non victim based	7,028	5,344	76%	83%	5,852	-508


Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11

Staffordshire

50%


h

е



Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11


RECORDED OFFENCES - VICTIM BASED Change 2008/09 to 2010/11



Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11

Stealing

RECORDED OFFENCES - VIOLENCE AGAINST THE PERSON Change 2008/09 to 2010/11




	2008/09	2010/11	Change	Avg
With injury	9,732	8,495	-13%	-11%
Without injury	6,687	7,524	13%	7%
Violence against person	16,419	16,019	-2%	-5%

Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11

Staffordshire

HMIC


RECORDED OFFENCES - SEXUAL OFFENCES Change 2008/09 to 2010/11



	2008/09	2010/11	Change	Avg
Rape	240	232	-3%	22%
Other sexual offences	801	748	-7%	4%
Sexual offences	1,041	980	-6%	8%

Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11

RECORDED OFFENCES - STEALING Change 2008/09 to 2010/11




Population	1,068k
------------	--------

	2008/09	2010/11	Change	Avg
Robbery				
Personal	721	479	-34%	-12%
Business	70	81	16%	-13%
	791	560	-29%	-13%
Burglary				
Domestic	4,255	3,307	-22%	-10%
Non-domestic	5,598	4,615	-18%	-11%
	9,853	7,922	-20%	-11%
Vehicle crime	9,856	5,896	-40%	-27%
Shoplifting	5,750	5,179	-10%	-5%
Other stealing	11,478	11,002	-4%	-1%
Stealing	37,728	30,559	-19%	-11%



HMIC


Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11





b

а

de








-40%

fh

c g

RECORDED OFFENCES - NON VICTIM BASED Change 2008/09 to 2010/11




Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11


Change in SD%	2008/09	2010/11	Change	Average
Victim based	17%	21%	4%	0%
Non victim based	78%	76%	-2%	-2%
All crime exc fraud & forgery	23%	26%	4%	0%
Fraud & forgery	14%	18%	4%	-5%
Victim based				
Violence against the person	27%	31%	4%	-2%
Sexual offences	25%	33%	8%	0%
Stealing	16%	19%	3%	0%
Criminal damage & arson	10%	12%	2%	-1%
Non victim based				
Public disorder	69%	60%	-8%	-5%
Drug offences	96%	95%	-1%	-1%
Crimes disrupted	72%	70%	-2%	-4%
Other non victim based	57%	53%	-4%	-4%
Violence against the person				
VAP with injury	31%	40%	9%	-1%
VAP without Injury	21%	21%	0%	-3%
Sexual offences				
Rape	24%	35%	12%	1%
Other sexual offences	25%	32%	6%	0%
Stealing				
Personal robbery	19%	33%	14%	2%
Business robbery	19%	56%	37%	0%
Domestic burglary	15%	18%	4%	2%
Non Domestic burglary	9%	8%	-1%	-1%
Vehicle crime	9%	16%	7%	1%
Shoplifting	53%	55%	3%	-5%
Other stealing	8%	8%	-1%	-1%
Drugs				
Drug trafficking	93%	89%	-4%	0%
Drug possession	96%	95%	-1%	-1%


Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11


SANCTION DETECTIONS BY TYPE 2010/11

These charts show how different types of sanction detections are used.












	%	Avg
Charge summons	57%	59%
Cautions	22%	22%
Penalty notices	7%	7%
Cannabis warnings	7%	5%
Taken into consideration	5%	7%
	100%	100%





CHANGES IN DETECTION TYPES Changes 2008/09 to 2010/11

These charts show how the proportions of the types of sanction detections have changed since 2008/09. Note that up to 2008/09 cannabis warnings were recorded as FPN detections, from this point they have moved into 'Other'.









	2008/09	2010/11	Change	Avg
Charge summons	59%	57%	-1%	6%
Cautions	21%	22%	2%	-3%
Penalty notices	9%	7%	-1%	-1%
Cannabis warnings	6%	7%	1%	0%
Taken into consideration	6%	5%	0%	-1%
Total	100%	100%		

Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11


CHARGES 2010/11

These charts and tables show the overall charge rates and compare them with the expected charge rates. The expected charge rates show what the force would have achieved if they had matched the average for each crime type. The term charges relates to crime offences cleared up by means of charge or summons.

					Diff from
	Offences	Charges	%	Avg	expected
VAP with injury	8,495	2,328	27%	30%	-209
VAP without Injury	7,524	1,101	15%	28%	-991
Rape	232	81	35%	26%	22
Other sexual offences	748	204	27%	27%	C
Domestic burglary	3,307	330	10%	12%	-53
Non-domestic burglary	4,615	234	5%	6%	-44
Robbery of personal propert	479	152	32%	27%	21
Business robbery	81	43	53%	39%	12
Vehicle crime	5,896	391	7%	6%	11
Shoplifting	5,179	1,620	31%	34%	-150
Other stealing	11,002	515	5%	5%	-71
Criminal damage & arson	14,031	1,055	8%	8%	-94
Public disorder	2,714	896	33%	44%	-300
Drug trafficking	439	308	70%	72%	-8
Drug possession	2,659	478	18%	30%	-317
Crimes disrupted	736	385	52%	62%	-69
Other non victim based	480	220	46%	62%	-78
Total exc fraud	68,617	10,341	15%	17%	-2,319

Fraud & forgery	2,273	273	12%	20%	-180
All crime	70,890	10,614	15%	18%	-2,499
0 11 011 01	01 11 11 001011				ar -


Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11



OVERALL SATISFACTION AND PERCEPTIONS OF ASB

2010/11 percentage satisfied

These charts show user satisfaction for the 'whole experience' (excluding RTC), and users perceiving a high level of ASB in their area. 95% confidence intervals are also shown.



35%]	Perceptions of ASB
30% -	
25% -	
20% -	
15% -	
10% -	
5% -	and the second
0%	
	a de g c b f h

		Avg
% Satisfied	89.1%	83.9%
Confidence interval	1.5%	0.2%

		Avg
Perceptions of ASB	15.9%	13.7%
Confidence interval	3.7%	3.0%

Source: BCS and Victims of Crime Survey 2010/11

Section Two – Workforce and Costs

INTRODUCTION

This section looks at both how a force deploys its workforce and the associated costs of each of the 12 headline categories within the POA. POA subcategory information on costs is also presented.

POA 2011/12 estimates are used for all cost and workforce data unless stated otherwise. These data are taken as a snapshot as at 10th October. Any updates to the data which are made after this time will not be reflected in the profile.

Workforce data comprises full-time equivalent (FTE) figures. In POA estimates these are calculated as the number of staff budgeted for each staff type. Within support services, staff levels are less likely to be affected by local demographics and are therefore presented as number of staff per FTE.

Local policing workforce by function

The POA data is initially divided into twelve groups:

Local policing Dealing with the public Roads policing Specialist operations Intelligence Specialist investigations Investigative support Criminal justice Support functions Police Authority Central costs National policing

A new addition to this version of the profiles is a page which aims to identify potential to increase the frontline. More information on this can be found on page 77.

Throughout the profiles the chart scales differ and the differences shown may not be as significant as they first appear.

Key to the calculations

Averages are simple unweighted averages including the force.

Please note: as the Metropolitan Police data distorts the chart scales, the Met has been excluded from all force profiles except for its own, as has the City of London.

Non-staff expenditure is shown as a percentage of workforce expenditure, since the main determining factor of most non-staff costs is the size of the workforce.

Workforce data for support functions is shown as FTE per 100 workforce to compare the size of the support function in relation to the workforce.

The profiles use a different calculation for net revenue expenditure to CIPFA; it is calculated as total expenditure minus income to show the total cost of policing to the taxpayer.


Where earned income is referred to, this is covers partnership income, sales fees charges and rents, special police services, reimbursed income and interest.

For each objective function we also indicate what proportion of the gross expenditure is accounted for by the cost of police officers.

We also show support costs as %age of net revenue expenditure as a local deflator.

How to use this section

Police authorities and forces should focus on those charts where the force is an outlier, i.e. where they are significantly different from the average, or where they are particularly high or low. Outliers are highlighted in red in the tables of the 'all forces' profiles and fall within the top or bottom 10% of forces and with a financial value of more than £1 per head. They should explore the reasons for any differences by looking at the force as a whole, using relevant local knowledge. Staffing levels should also be considered in the context of workforce modernisation, collaboration efforts and the outsourcing of services.



Source: POA estimates 2011/12

Special constables 437 0.41 0.30 115
--

Source: ADR 502

nb Specials numbers are headcount and taken from ADR 502 as opposed to POA as recording of specials in POA was not consistent

Staffordshire

hfg ab

d

се

WORKFORCE TRENDS

Changes March 2006 to March 2011

These tables show workforce changes since March 2006 using ADR 601 data. These highlight the impact of workforce modernisation and the introduction of PCSOs. Population figures for mid 2009 used for all calculations

Total

TE						
	Mar-06	Mar-07	Mar-08	Mar-09	Mar-10	Mar-11
Police officers	2,302	2,289	2,269	2,211	2,161	2,079
PCSOs	70	204	209	237	237	228
Police staff	1,305	1,287	1,310	1,373	1,373	1,235
Total	3,676	3,779	3,788	3,820	3,772	3,542
E / 1,000 population						
Police officers	2.16	2.14	2.12	2.07	2.02	1.95
PCSOs	0.07	0.19	0.20	0.22	0.22	0.21
Police staff	1.22	1.21	1.23	1.29	1.29	1.16
Total	3.44	3.54	3.55	3.58	3.53	3.32
verage						
Police officers	2.28	2.28	2.26	2.28	2.25	2.18
PCSOs	0.09	0.20	0.23	0.25	0.25	0.24
Police staff	1.29	1.32	1.32	1.43	1.45	1.34

3.82

Source: ADR 601 2010/11 as at March 2011

3.66

3.81

3.96

3.96


3.76


3%


POLICE OFFICERS/PCSOs BY RANK March 2011 % of FTE


These charts show the percentage of the total officer and PCSO workforce by rank. The chart for superintendents includes chief superintendents, and the chart for inspectors includes chief inspectors. Association of Chief Police Officers (ACPO) are officers above the rank of chief superintendents.


Additionally two charts show numbers of constables (and PCSOs) per sergeant giving an indication of the supervision requirement for each sergeant.













		0/	•
	FTE	%	Avg
ACPO	4	0.2%	0.2%
Chief Superintendent	6	0.3%	0.3%
Superintendent	14	0.6%	0.7%
Chief Inspector	31	1.3%	1.3%
Inspector	98	4.2%	4.6%
Sergeant	358	15.5%	14.3%
Constable	1,568	68.0%	68.5%
PCSO	228	9.9%	10.2%
Force total	2,307	100.0%	100.0%

Constables per Sergeant	4.4	4.8
Const. & PCSOs per Sergeant	5.0	5.5
Courses ADD CO4 March 2014		

Source: ADR 601 March 2011








POLICE WORKFORCE AND CRIME March 2011 Workforce, 2010/11 crime

These charts show numbers of police officers allocated to community duties in relation to the amount of crime in the force. While police officers are not just dealing with crime, the numbers of crimes per police officer allocated to community duties (Neighbourhood, response and traffic units) gives some indication of how busy these officers are compared to their peer group of forces.

NB: this does not include officers attending incidents which do not result in a notifiable offence.







Community police officers (POA) 1,09							
N	per CPO	Avg					
61,589	56	55					
7,028	6	7					
68,617	63	62					
	N 61,589 7,028	N per CPO 61,589 56 7,028 6					


Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11

ARREST TO CHARGE

2010/11

These charts show the number of arrests per crime, as well as arrests, charges and sanction detections per community police officer (community police officers are those deployed in neighbourhood, response and traffic units).


NB: The latest arrest data has been used 2010/11. The arrest data does not include arrests for non-notifiable offences but does include fraud and forgery (accounting for around 2% of arrests). Arrests shown are for notifiable offences only, but there are non-notifiable arrests & other detentions which are not counted by Home Office.





Community police officers	1,090
Crimes	70,890
Arrests	24,551

	Force	Avg
Arrests per 100 crimes	35	35

	Ν	per CPO	Avg
Arrests	24,551	23	21
Sanction detections	18,511	17	19
Charges	10,614	10	11







Sources: Arrest data: ADR 121-124 2010/11, Detection data: Home Office Crime Statistics Fraud & forgery are included

Force breakdown against national average

Population 1,068k

E	Budgeted FTE	staff		•	er head ,000 pop	5								
	PO	PS	Total	PO	PS	Total		PO	PS	Total		PO	PS	Total
- Local investigation	187	0	187	0.1	3 -	0.18		0.27	0.04	0.31		-102	-44	-145
- Other local policing	1,169	307	1,476	1.1	0.29	1.38		1.06	0.31	1.37		37	-27	11
Total local policing	1,357	307	1,663	1.2	7 0.29	1.56		1.33	0.35	1.68	#	-64	-70	-134
Dealing with the public	56	271	327	0.0	5 0.25	0.31		0.05	0.29	0.33		6	-36	-30
Road policing	58	37	95	0.0	5 0.03	0.09		0.11	0.03	0.14		-63	6	-56
Specialist operations	123	7	130	0.1	2 0.01	0.12		0.14	0.02	0.16		-26	-13	-39
Intelligence	79	70	149	0.0	7 0.07	0.14		0.09	0.07	0.16		-15	-3	-18
Specialist investigations	215	69	284	0.2	0.06	0.27		0.20	0.08	0.28		-1	-12	-13
Investigative support	0	78	78	-	0.07	0.07		0.01	0.09	0.09		-9	-14	-23
Criminal justice	83	203	285	0.0	3 0.19	0.27		0.07	0.23	0.31		5	-48	-43
Support functions	48	369	417	0.0	4 0.35	0.39		0.09	0.42	0.51		-46	-79	-125
Police authority	0	5	5	-	0.00	0.00		-	0.01	0.01		0	-5	-5
Central costs	0	0	0	-	-	-		-	-	-		0	0	0
Sub-total	2,018	1,415	3,433	1.8	9 1.33	3.22		2.09	1.58	3.67		-213	-274	-487
National policing	15	5	20	0.0	1 0.00	0.02		0.06	0.02	0.08	[-44	-17	-61
Total	2,033	1,420	3,453	1.9	0 1.33	3.23		2.14	1.60	3.74		-255	-288	-543

Source: POA estimates 2011/12

Force breakdown against group average

Population 1,068k


	Budgeted staff FTE							•	Group average FTE / 1,000 pop			Diff from group FTE		
	PO	PS	Total	PO	PS	Total	PO	PS	Total	PO	PS	Total		
- Local investigation	187	0	187	0.18	0.00	0.18	0.27	0.08	0.34	-96	-82	-178		
- Other local policing	1,169	307	1,476	1.10	0.29	1.38	0.91	0.31	1.22	196	-26	170		
Total local policing	1,357	307	1,663	1.27	0.29	1.56	1.18	0.39	1.57	100	-108	-8		
Dealing with the public	56	271	327	0.05	0.25	0.31	0.04	0.28	0.32	16	-31	-16		
Road policing	58	37	95	0.05	0.03	0.09	0.11	0.03	0.14	-63	6	-57		
Specialist operations	123	7	130	0.12	0.01	0.12	0.12	0.01	0.13	-3	-6	-9		
Intelligence	79	70	149	0.07	0.07	0.14	0.07	0.07	0.14	0	1	1		
Specialist investigations	215	69	284	0.20	0.06	0.27	0.17	0.08	0.26	29	-21	8		
Investigative support	0	78	78	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.01	0.08	0.08	-6	-4	-10		
Criminal justice	83	203	285	0.08	0.19	0.27	0.06	0.20	0.27	14	-16	-1		
Support functions	48	369	417	0.04	0.35	0.39	0.08	0.44	0.51	-34	-98	-132		
Police authority	0	5	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0	-5	-5		
Central costs	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0		
Sub-total	2,018	1,415	3,433	1.89	1.33	3.22	1.84	1.51	3.35	54	-200	-146		
National policing	15	5	20	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.05	0.01	0.06	-33	-6	-39		
Total	2,033	1,420	3,453	1.90	1.33	3.23	1.89	1.60	3.48	21	-288	-268		

Source: POA estimates 2011/12


LEAVERS


2010-11


These charts show the percentage of the workforce that left the force in 2010/11; officers are broken down into those who transferred or left the service. Because of the current financial climate, we have costed the salary impact of staff leaving the service. However, PCSOs leaving forces may return as police officers. *NB: The leavers figures are FTE.*



	Strength	Leavers	% Force	Avg	Salary £m
Police officers	2,161				
Exc transfers		82	3.8%	4.5%	
Transfers		5	0.2%	0.3%	
Leaving force		87	4.0%	4.7%	4.6
PCSO	237	5	2.1%	6.7%	0.1
Police staff	1,373	132	9.6%	9.4%	4.4
Force total	3,772	224	5.5%	6.3%	9.2









All leavers


Source: ADR531 (as at 31/03/11) Source: ADR531 (as at 31/09/10)

Source: ADR502 (as at 31/03/11)





JOINERS




	Strength	Joiners	%	Avg
Police officers	2,079	1	0.0%	1.7%
PCSO	228	0	0.0%	3.8%
Police staff	1,235	25	2.0%	3.7%
Overall	3,542	26	0.7%	2.5%
0 400504			(00 (00 (0))	

Source: ADR521 (as at 31/03/11 and 30/09/2010)

SICKNESS & OTHER LONG TERM ABSENCE March 2011

These charts show sickness & other long term absence broken down into absences of less than 28 days and 28 days or more (long term absence) which includes maternity leave, compassionate leave etc. Officers on restricted duties (i.e. officers who, because of a disability or other limiting factor, are unable to undertake the full range of operational duties) and recuperative duties (officers returning to work in a phased way after injury or illness) are not included in the absence figures. *NB: The gaps towards the left of some charts indicate that data is not available or has not been included; absence above 12% of the workforce and zero absence have been excluded.*

Long-	tern	n Abse	ence			
5%]					Police	e officers
4% -		line.				
3% -· 2% -						
1% -						
0% ⊥						
	g	е	h	a c	f b	d
8%]						PCSO
6% -	ĸ.					1000
4% -		llin				
2% -						
0% ⊥						
		аų	9		eh	c fd b
8%]						Staff
6% -						
4% -						
2% -						lin.
0% ⊥						
	е	g			fab	hd c





	Strength	FTE	%	Avg
Officers	2,079			
Long	term absence	63	3.0%	2.9%
Sickr	less absence	57	2.8%	1.8%
PCSO	228			
Long	term absence	9	3.9%	2.8%
Sickr	less absence	7	3.2%	2.2%
Staff	1,235			
Long	term absence	37	3.0%	3.3%
Sickr	ess absence	31	2.5%	1.8%

Long term absence: 2010/11-Q4

Officers	2,079			
Recuperative		57	2.7%	2.2%
Restricted		109	5.2%	4.2%


Source: ADR 502, 551 and 554 (as at 31/03/11) ADR 554 figures are headcount not FTE






POLICE OFFICERS LENGTH OF SERVICE

These charts show the number of officers by length of service. A more detailed breakdown of 25 – 30 years is provided for planning purposes.








EXPENDITURE 2011/12 estimates £ per head of population

These charts give an overview of what policing in each force costs per head of population.


NB: the profiles calculate net revenue expenditure as total expenditure minus earned income to show the total cost of policing to the taxpayer. NB: that this is different from NRE as reported in POA data.







_					
£10	_۲ 00			Non-s	taff costs
£8	30 -				
£	60 -				
£4	40 -				
£2	20 -				
£	E0				
		gd	fc b	h	ae



Population	1,068k
Population	1,000K


	£m	£/head	Avg	Diff. £m
Police officers	112.2	105	113	-8.8
Police staff	39.6	37	43	-6.0
PCSOs	6.8	6	7	-1.0
Workforce	158.6	149	163	-15.8
Non-staff costs	40.1	38	42	-4.2
Earned income	-3.9	-4	-7	3.4
Net revenue exp.	194.8	182	198	-16.6
Total exc national	192.9	181	194	-14.3

Source: POA estimates 2011/12

POLICE OFFICERS 2011/12 estimates £ per head of population

These charts break down police officer costs into salary and overtime costs (OT). Police officer overtime costs are also shown as a percentage of the overall salary costs.


These figures, taken from POA, show the estimated FTE numbers for the year 2011/12. The data table compares these with Home Office published FTE figures (ADR601), which are a snapshot taken at 31/3/2011, and so not necessarily the same.




	£m	£/head	Avg	Diff. £m
Police officers (exc. OT)	108.1	101.3	109.6	-8.9
Police overtime	4.1	3.9	3.7	0.1
Total	112.2	105.1	113.4	-8.8


PO overtime % salary	% sal	Avg	Diff. £m
National functions	0.0%	0.1%	-0.1
Other	3.8%	3.3%	0.5
Total	3.8%	3.4%	0.4

		Avg	Diff. £m	
FTE/1,000	1.90	2.14	-13.1	
£000/FTE	£53.2k	£51.2k	4.0	<<





4 -	Police officer FTE per 1,000
3 -	lu.
2 -	
1 -	
0 -	
	ceb ad hfg
£60 £55	



FTE Mar 11 (ADR601) 2,079	Budgeted FTE 2011/12 (POA)	2,033
	FTE Mar 11 (ADR601)	2,079

Source: POA Statistics 2011/12 estimates and ADR601


POLICE STAFF AND PCSOs 2011/12 estimates £ per head of population


These charts break down police staff and PCSO costs into more detail. These figures, taken from POA, show the estimated FTE numbers for the year 2011/12. The data table compares these with Home Office published FTE figures (ADR601), which are a snapshot taken at 31/3/2011, and so not necessarily the same. Home Office FTE data also excludes temporary contract staff and traffic wardens while POA includes traffic wardens.


£m	£/head	Avg	Diff. £m
40	37.1	42.6	-6.0

		Avg	Diff. £m	
FTE/1,000	1.11	1.35	-8.0	<<
£000/FTE	33.2k	31.7k	1.8	

Budgeted FTE 2011/12 (POA)	1,190
FTE Mar 11 (ADR601)	1,235







PCSOs

£m	£/head	Avg	Diff. £m
6.8	6.3	7.3	-1.0
		Avg	Diff. £m

-1.0


0.0


 FTE/1,000
 0.22
 0.25


 £000/FTE
 £29.4k
 £29.5k

Source: POA estimates 2011/12

Budgeted FTE 2011/12 (POA)	230
FTE Mar 11 (ADR601)	228








NON-STAFF COSTS AS PERCENTAGE OF WORKFORCE COSTS

2011/12 estimates

These charts provide information about non-staff costs broken down into specific types of running costs. Non-staff costs are shown as a percentage of staff costs as non-staff costs are mainly dependent on the number of staff working for an organisation. Third party payments include mutual aid from other police authorities, contributions to inter-authority services, transfer payments and national levies.



30%

25%

20%

15%

10%


5%


0%


fg


c h

a d










e b



Staff costs	£159m				
	£m	% staff	Avg	Diff. £m	1
Premises	5.6	3.5%	4.5%	-1.5	1
Transport	5.9	3.7%	2.8%	1.4	<-
Supplies & services	17.2	10.8%	11.4%	-0.8	
Third party payments	1.7	1.0%	1.9%	-1.3	
Other employee exps	5.0	3.2%	2.4%	1.2	
Non-staff costs	35.3	22.3%	22.9%	-1.1	1

Capital financing	4.8	3.1%	2.7%	0.5
Total	40.1	25.3%	25.7%	-0.6

Source: POA estimates 2011/12


Other employee expenses includes temporary & agency staff, and injury & ill health costs


HMIC


FINANCING OF EXPENDITURE 2011/12 estimates £ per head of population


These charts show how the force funds its expenditure broken down into more detail using POA finance data. Central funding is broken down into formula based funding, and government grants, which are not formula based. Local funding is comprised of council tax and use of reserves.


Note: Police forces in Wales did not receive an increase in government grant for agreeing to freeze or reduce council tax.












Population	1,068k
------------	--------

	£m	£/head	Avg
Central funding			
Formula funding*	123	115	127
Specific grants	6	6	11
Local funding			
Council tax	66	62	58
Reserves (transfers)	0	0	1
Net revenue exp.	195	182	198

* Sum of Police Grant, Non-Domestic Rates, & Revenue Support Grant


Source: POA estimates 2011/12





Band D tax rate	Avg	Diff £m
£178	£162	5.6

EARNED INCOME 2011/12 estimates £ per head of population

These charts break down information into different categories of 'earned' or external income using CIPFA finance data. This is the income removed from GRE in order to calculate NRE.







£7 -	Partnership Income
£6 -	-
£5 -	
£4 -	
£3 -	in.
£2 -	
£1 -	
£1 - £0 -	

£9 £8			Spec	ial police	e servio	es
£7 -						
£6 -						
£5 -						
£4 -						
£3 -						
£2 -						
£1						
£0 🔟						
	fc	а	be	h g		d

Population	1,068k

	£m	£/head	Avg	Diff. £m
Sales, fees, charges & rents	1.8	1.7	2.2	-0.6
Reimbursed income	0.7	0.7	2.2	-1.6
Partnership income	0.3	0.3	1.3	-1.1
Special police services	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.0
Interest	0.2	0.2	0.2	-0.1
Total earned income	3.9	3.6	6.8	-3.4


Source: POA estimates 2011/12

Staffordshire

<<

FUNDING SOURCE TRENDS 2008/09 - 2010/11 actuals and estimates for 2011/12 £ per head of population

These charts show how the financial position and funding of forces has changed since 2008/09. Estimates of reserves are unreliable. These figures are not adjusted for inflation



£ per 1000	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	Increase
Central gov funding	122.6	125.7	128.3	121.0	-1%
Reserves	-9.6	2.7	0.8	0.0	
Council tax	55.0	57.5	59.5	61.5	12%
Total funding	168.0	185.9	188.6	182.5	9%
Average	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	Increase
Central gov funding	144.1	143.4	145.2	138.9	-4%
Reserves	0.8	0.4	1.1	1.3	
Council tax	52.9	56.0	58.2	57.9	10%
Total funding	197.8	199.9	204.4	198.1	0%

-					
Average		£152	£157	£162	£162
Band D ta	ax rate	£166	£173	£178	£178


Source: CIPFA statistics; POA Statistics 2011/12 estimates


WORKFORCE BY FUNCTION

Budgeted FTE 2011/12 per 1,000

These charts show the workforce costs by function in terms of fte per 1,000 population. The underyling figures are displayed on pages 40 & 41.

For definitions of the workforce categories, please refer to Appendix 2.





b fc

da hg

Investigative Support

g afe

h

0.50

0.40

0.30

0.20

0.10


0.00

0.2

0.1

0.0

d



е

0.6

0.5

0.4

0.3

0.2


0.1

0.0

0.40

0.30

b h





е

Dealing with the Public

Specialist Operations

da g c f





Source: POA estimates 2011/12


Staffordshire


С

b

NET REVENUE EXP BY FUNCTION

Cost per head of population by function





Intelligence


е


fheg


cg af dh


bac

Investigative support









Population 1,068k

			Averages		D	Diff £m	_
	£m	£/head	All	Group	All	Group	
- Local investigation	10.5	9.8	15.1	15.9	-5.	.6 -6.5	1
- Other local policing	71.4	66.8	63.9	57.0	3.	.1 10.5	
Local policing	81.9	76.7	79.0	72.9	-2.	.5 4.0	
Dealing with the public	13.4	12.5	12.1	12.0	0.	.5 0.6	
Criminal justice	14.1	13.2	13.1	12.2	0.	.2 1.1	
Road policing	3.2	3.0	6.4	6.2	-3.	.6 -3.4	<<
Specialist operations	7.6	7.1	8.8	7.9	-1.	.9 -0.9	
Intelligence	7.2	6.7	7.2	6.5	-0.	.5 0.2	
Specialist investigations	15.8	14.8	14.8	13.9	-0.	.0 0.9	
Investigative support	6.2	5.8	5.8	5.3	-0.	.0 0.6	
Support functions	34.9	32.7	39.6	38.2	-7.	.4 -5.8	
Police authority	1.0	0.9	1.2	1.4	-0.	.3 -0.5	
Central costs	7.8	7.3	6.0	6.2	1.	.3 1.1	
Total exc national	192.9	180.7	194.1	182.6	-14.	.3 -2.0	

National policing	1.9	1.8	4.0	3.2	-2.3	-1.5
Total	194.8	182.5	198.1	185.8	-16.6	-3.5

Source: POA estimates 2011/12

Staffordshire

£20

£15

£10

£5

£0

£12

£10


£8 £6

£4

£2 £0 b

d


LOCAL POLICING Objectives – cost per head of population by function.



Population 1,068k								
			Aver	ages	Dif			
	£m	£/head	All	Group	All	Group	% PO *	Avg
Neighbourhood/response	60.2	56.3	55.8	50.3	0.5	6.5	87%	85%
Local investigation	10.5	9.8	15.1	15.9	-5.6	-6.5	98%	91%
Specialist community liaison	6.0	5.6	4.4	3.9	1.4	1.9	69%	62%
Command team & support	5.2	4.9	3.7	2.8	1.2	2.2	82%	65%
Total local policing	81.9	76.7	79.0	72.9	-2.5	4.0	87%	83%

Source: POA estimates 2011/12


* PO salaries + overtime as % of gross expenditure



	Averages							
Staffing	FTE	FTE/1000	All	Group		All	Group	
Police officers	1,357	1.27	1.33	1.18		-64	100	
PCSOs	230	0.22	0.25	0.23		-33	-17	
Police staff	77	0.07	0.11	0.16		-37	-91	

Expenditure	£m	£/head	All	Group	All	Group
PO salaries	68.8	64.5	65.2	58.2	-0.8	6.7
PO overtime	3.0	2.8	2.1	1.9	0.8	0.9
PCSOs	6.8	6.3	7.3	7.1	-1.0	-0.8
Police staff	2.3	2.1	3.1	4.3	-1.0	-2.3
Non-staff costs	2.1	1.9	2.8	3.8	-1.0	-2.0
Income	-1.0	-1.0	-1.4	-2.4	0.5	1.5
Total cost	81.9	76.7	79.0	72.9	-2.5	4.0


Cost/fte		All	Group	All
Police officers	£51k	£49k	£49k	2.3
PCSOs	£29k	£29k	£31k	-0.0
Staff	£30k	£29k	£27k	0.1



d cf e


PO salaries


£100



bg a

h






Source: POA estimates 2011/12 HMIC

Group 1.7 -0.3 0.2

DEALING WITH THE PUBLIC

Objectives - cost per head of population by function



£1 £0

Population 1,068k							
			Av	erages	Diff	£m	
	£m	£/head	All	Group	All	Group	
Central communications unit	10.4	9.8	8.3	8.9	1.6	0.9	
Local call centres/front desk	2.7	2.6	2.3	2.1	0.3	0.5	
Contact management units	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.5	-1.4	-0.6	<<
Command team & support	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.4	-0.1	-0.2	
Total dealing with the public	13.4	12.5	12.1	12.0	0.5	0.6	


% PO Avg 22% 21% 33% 10% 33% < na 67% 72% 25% 22% 0.5 0.6


f

С

b h gaed

Source: POA estimates 2011/12





hg da

е

fc

			Averages			Diff fte		
Staffing	FTE	FTE/1000	All	Group		All	Group	
Police officers	56	0.05	0.05	0.04		6	16	
Police Staff	271	0.25	0.29	0.28		-36	-31	

Expenditure	£m	£/head	All	Group	All
Police officers	3.3	3.1	2.7	2.2	0
Police staff +PCSO	9.9	9.3	9.1	9.0	0
Non-staff costs	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.9	-0
Income	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0
Total cost	13.4	12.5	12.1	12.0	0

_		
	All	Group
	0.5	1.0
	0.2	0.3
	-0.2	-0.7
	0.1	0.1
1	0.5	0.6

Cost/fte		All	Group	All	Group
Police officers	£58k	£56k	£57k	0.1	0.1
Police Staff	£36k	£31k	£31k	1.3	1.3

Source: POA estimates 2011/12

£2 £0

b

Central Communications Unit only

0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

0

5,000

4,000

3,000 2,000

1,000

0

FTE Staff Gross cost


FTE/1000

Calls per FTE

Calls per 1000


Cost per call


CCU + Front Desk




999 CALLS

2010/11







agd

Avg

783

141

£61

0.22

c ef

254

£10.4m

0.24


568


135

£72

Calls per FTE


hb





Gross cost £13.2m	324	FTE Staff
	£13.2m	Gross cost

		Avg
FTE/1000	0.30	0.29
Calls per FTE	446	544
Calls per 1000	135	141
Cost per call	£91	£77




Population	1,068k
Calls received	144,428

,	<u> </u>

Source: ADR 441

CRIMINAL JUSTICE ARRANGEMENTS

Objectives - cost per head of population by function



.


Population 1,068k


			Av	erages
	£m	£/head	All	Group
Custody / prisoner handling	6.5	6.1	6.8	6.5
Criminal justice	4.7	4.4	3.9	3.2
Police national computer	1.1	1.0	0.8	0.7
CIU/ criminal record bureau	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.3
Property officer / stores	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2
Coroner assistance	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Fixed penalty scheme	0.6	0.6	0.2	0.2
Command Team & Support	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.8
Total criminal justice arrangements	14.1	13.2	13.1	12.2

Diff	£m		
All	Group	% PO	Avg
-0.8	-0.5	49%	44%
0.6	1.3	30%	19%
0.2	0.3	0%	1%
-0.3	-0.1	0%	1%
0.1	0.1	0%	0%
-0.0	-0.0	0%	8%
0.4	0.4	0%	3%
0.0	-0.3	61%	51%
0.2	1.1	34%	29%

Source: POA estimates 2011/12

CRIMINAL JUSTICE ARRANGEMENTS Use of resources





			Aver	aaes		Diff	fte
Staffing	FTE	FTE/1000	All	Group	[All	Gro
Police officers	83	0.08	0.07	0.06		5	
Police Staff	203	0.19	0.23	0.20		-48	-
		<u> </u>				Diff	£m
Expenditure	£m	£/head	All	Group		All	Gro
Police officers	4.9	4.6	4.0	3.6	- [0.6	
Police staff +PCSO	5.3	4.9	6.5	5.8		-1.6	-(
Non-staff costs	4.1	3.9	3.1	3.3		0.8	(
Income	-0.2	-0.2	-0.5	-0.5		0.4	(
Total cost	14.1	13.2	13.1	12.2		0.2	
						Diff	£m
Cost/fte			All	Group		All	Gro
Police officers		£58k	£54k	£54k	- [0.3	(

£26k

£27k

£28k

Source: POA estimates 2011/12 HMIC

Police Staff

Group

14

-16

1.1

-0.9 0.5

0.4 1.1

Group


-0.2

0.3

-0.4

CRIMINAL JUSTICE Staffing and cost compared to charges

These charts show the NRE cost of criminal justice (as opposed to criminal justice arrangements) per 100 charges. FTE within the criminal justice function is then shown per 100 charges. Crimes stated are those recorded on Crimesec3



Charges	10,614			
		per 100 charges	Avg	Diff
Criminal justice FTE	154	1.4	1.2	26
Criminal justice cost	£4.7m	£44k	£34k	£1.1m

Source: POA estimates 2011/12

e g

SPECIALIST OPERATIONS

Objectives – cost per head of population by function

£20

£18 £16

£14

£12

£10


£8

£6

£4

£2

£0



Population 1,068k						
			Aver	ages	D	iff £m
	£m	£/head	All	Group	All	Group
Firearms unit	3.1	2.9	3.1	3.9	-0.	3 -1.1
Dogs section	1.1	1.0	1.4	1.2	-0	4 -0.2
Lev 1 adv public order	1.5	1.4	1.3	0.6	0.	1 0.8
Air operations	0.7	0.6	1.0	1.0	-0	4 -0.4
Civil contingencies	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.4	-0.	0 0.3
Specialist terrain	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	-0.	1 -0.0
Mounted police	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	-0.	2 -0.1
Airports & ports	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	-0.	3 -0.0

0.5

7.1

0.7

8.8

0.7

7.9

-0.2

-1.9

-0.2

-0.9

0.6

7.6

% PO	Avg
94%	92%
96%	91%
98%	84%
32%	29%
71%	65%
na	63%
na	79%
na	80%
86%	72%
87%	80%

£2.5 -	Command team & support
£2.0 -	overheads
£1.5 -	lib.
£1.0 -	and the second se
£0.5 -	
£0.0 -	
	b ghcaefd


Staffing	FTE
Firearms unit	58
Dogs section	20
Lev 1 adv public order	27

Total specialist operations HMIC Source: POA estimates 2011/12

Command team & support

SPECIALIST OPERATIONS

Use of resources



			Aver	ages	Diff	fte
Staffing	FTE	FTE/1000	All	Group	All	Group
Police officers	123	0.12	0.14	0.12	-26	-3
Police Staff	7	0.01	0.02	0.01	-12	-6
					Diff	£m
Expenditure	£m	£/head	All	Group	All	Group
PO salaries	6.6	6.1	7.3	6.3	-1.3	-0.1
PO overtime	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.2	-0.3	-0.2
Police staff	0.3	0.2	0.6	0.3	-0.4	-0.1
Non-staff costs	0.8	0.7	1.3	1.4	-0.6	-0.8
Income	-0.1	-0.1	-0.8	-0.4	0.8	0.3
Total cost	7.6	7.1	8.8	7.9	-1.9	-0.9
					Diff	£m

Cost/fte		All	Group	All	Group
Police officers	£53k	£53k	£53k	0.1	0.0
Police Staff	£31k	£31k	£28k	0.0	0.0


Source: POA estimates 2011/12

h

а


INTELLIGENCE

Objectives – cost per head of population by function



Population 1,068k										
			Ave	rages		Diff	£m			
	£m	£/head	All	Group	[All	Group		% PO	Avg
Intelligence gathering	4.5	4.2	3.3	3.6	- [1.0	0.6	1	84%	72%
Intelligence analysis/threat assessments	1.6	1.5	3.5	2.5		-2.1	-1.1	<<	4%	49%
Command team & support	1.0	1.0	0.4	0.4		0.6	0.7		62%	66%
Total intelligence	7.2	6.7	7.2	6.5		-0.5	0.2		62%	62%

Source: POA estimates 2011/12



£10 - £8 - £6 - £4 - £2 - £0		b	c a f ho	dg		e	_
£5 £4 -					Pol	lice sta	uff
£3 -							
£2 -		-					—
£1 -							
£0	b	f	g a	cd	h	е	

Police officers

			Aver	ages	Diff	fte
Staffing	FTE	FTE/1000	All	Group	All	Gro
Police officers	79	0.07	0.09	0.07	-15	
Police staff	70	0.07	0.07	0.07	-3	
					 Diff	£m
Expenditure	£m	£/head	All	Group	All	Gro
Police officers	4.5	4.2	4.6	4.1	-0.4	
Police staff	2.1	2.0	2.1	2.0	-0.1	
Non-staff costs	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	-0.0	
Income	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.0	0.0	-
Total cost	7.2	6.7	7.2	6.5	-0.5	
					 Diff	£m
Cost/fte			All	Group	All	Gro

Police officers	£56k	
Police staff	£30k	
Source: POA estimates 2011/12		

ffing	FTE	FTE/1000	All	Group	All	Group
ice officers	79	0.07	0.09	0.07	-15	0
ice staff	70	0.07	0.07	0.07	-3	1
					Diff	£m
penditure	£m	£/head	All	Group	All	Group
ice officers	4.5	4.2	4.6	4.1	-0.4	0.2
ice staff	2.1	2.0	2.1	2.0	-0.1	0.0
n-staff costs	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	-0.0	0.1
ome	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.0	0.0	-0.1
al cost	7.2	6.7	7.2	6.5	-0.5	0.2
					Diff	£m
st/fte			All	Group	All	Group
ice officers		£56k	£51k	£54k	0.4	0.1
ce staff		£30k	£30k	£30k	-0.0	-0.0

0.0	
0.1	
-0.1	
0.2	

SPECIALIST INVESTIGATIONS

£25

£20


£15

£10

£5

£0

Objectives – cost per head of population by function



Avg

80% 73%

77%

59%

54% 60%

75%

Population	1,068k
------------	--------


Public protection 7.0 6.52 5.92 5.2 Major investigations unit 3.8 3.58 3.93 3.6 Serious/organised crime unit 0.9 0.88 1.91 1.8 Economic crime 1.0 0.98 1.12 1.1				Av	erages
Major investigations unit 3.8 3.58 3.93 3.6 Serious/organised crime unit 0.9 0.88 1.91 1.8 Economic crime 1.0 0.98 1.12 1.1		£m	£/head	All	Group
Serious/organised crime unit 0.9 0.88 1.91 1.8 Economic crime 1.0 0.98 1.12 1.1	Public protection	7.0	6.52	5.92	5.20
Economic crime 1.0 0.98 1.12 1.1	Major investigations unit	3.8	3.58	3.93	3.65
	Serious/organised crime unit	0.9	0.88	1.91	1.83
Specialist investigation units 0.3 0.26 0.90 0.8	Economic crime	1.0	0.98	1.12	1.15
	Specialist investigation units	0.3	0.26	0.90	0.84
Command team & support 2.7 2.54 0.99 1.2	Command team & support	2.7	2.54	0.99	1.20
Total 15.8 14.76 14.77 13.8	Total	15.8	14.76	14.77	13.87


Diff	£m		
All	Group		% PO
0.6	1.4		85%
-0.4	-0.1		79%
-1.1	-1.0		87%
-0.1	-0.2		63%
-0.7	-0.6		88%
1.7	1.4	<<	71%
-0.0	0.9		80%


Source: POA estimates 2011/12

SPECIALIST INVESTIGATIONS

Use of resources







		Averages			Di	ff fte
Staffing	FTE F	TE/1000	All	Group	All	Group
Police officers	215	0.20	0.20	0.17	-1	29
Police staff	69	0.06	0.08	0.08	-12	-21
					Dit	ff £m


Expenditure	£m	£/head	All	Group	All	Group
PO salaries	12.0	11.3	10.78	9.49	0.5	1.9
PO overtime	0.6	0.5	0.68	0.64	-0.2	-0.1
Police staff	2.1	2.0	2.32	2.86	-0.4	-0.9
Non-staff costs	1.0	1.0	1.34	1.24	-0.4	-0.3
Income	0.0	0.0	-0.35	-0.36	0.4	0.4
Total cost	15.8	14.8	14.77	13.87	-0.0	0.9
					 Diff a	£m

					5111
Cost/fte		All	Group	All	Group
Police officers	£56k	£53k	£54k	0.6	0.3
Police staff	£30k	£30k	£33k	-0.0	-0.2

Source: POA estimates 2011/12


INVESTIGATIVE SUPPORT

Objectives - cost per head of population by function



HMI Source: POA estimates 2011/12

Population



						Diff	fte
Staffing	FTE F	TE/1000	All	Group		All	Group
Police officers	-	-	0.01	0.01		-9	-6
Police staff	78	0.07	0.09	0.08		-14	-4
						Diff	£m
Expenditure	£m	£/head	Avg	Avg		All	Group
PO salaries	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3		-0.5	-0.4
Police staff	3.2	3.0	3.0	2.8		-0.0	0.2
Non-staff costs	3.0	2.8	2.4	2.1		0.4	0.7
Income	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0		0.0	0.0
Total cost	6.2	5.8	5.8	5.3		-0.0	0.6
		-				Diff	£m


Cost/fte		Avg	Avg	All	Group
Police officers	na	£52k	£56k	0.0	0.0
Police staff	£41k	£35k	£36k	0.5	0.3


Source: POA estimates 2011/12


ł	-4				
ff £m					
11	Group				


SUPPORT FUNCTIONS

Objectives – cost per head of population by function











Administration support

gdhe


а






Population 1,068


	Averages			l	Diff £m	
	£m	£/head	All	Group	All	Group
Estates/building costs	7.1	6.6	8.4	7.9	-1.9	-1.4
ICT	9.3	8.7	8.0	8.2	0.7	0.6
Training	1.9	1.8	4.7	4.4	-3.0	-2.8
Fleet services	5.1	4.8	3.1	3.1	1.8	1.8
Administration support	1.3	1.2	2.4	1.7	-1.2	-0.5
Finance	1.1	1.0	1.4	1.4	-0.3	-0.4
Human resources	2.7	2.5	2.6	2.6	-0.1	-0.1
Performance review	2.9	2.7	2.4	2.8	0.3	-0.1
Professional standards	1.2	1.1	1.4	1.4	-0.4	-0.3
All other	2.3	2.2	5.3	4.6	-3.3	-2.6
Total	34.9	32.7	39.6	38.2	-7.4	-5.8







f

b c



Source: POA estimates 2011/12





		Averages			Diff	fte
Staffing	FTE	FTE/1000	All	Group	All	Group
Police officers	48	0.04	0.09	0.08	-46	-34
PCSOs	-	-	0.00	0.00	-0	0
Police staff	369	0.35	0.42	0.44	-79	-98
					 Diff	fm

						5111
Expenditure	£m	£/head	All	Group	All	Grou
Police officers	3.2	3.0	5.5	4.9	-2.7	-2.
Police staff	12.8	12.0	14.1	14.5	-2.2	-2
Non-staff costs	19.5	18.2	21.2	19.3	-3.1	-1.
Income	-0.6	-0.5	-1.1	-0.6	0.6	0.
Total cost	34.9	32.7	39.6	38.2	-7.4	-5
					 Diff a	£m

£68k

£35k

All

£63k

£33k

Group

£64k

£33k

I	2111	
I	Group	
	-2.0	
	-2.7	
	-1.2	
	0.1	
	-5.8	
	-2.7 -1.2 0.1	


0.2

0.6

All Group

0.2

0.5



Source: POA estimates 2011/12

Staffordshire


Cost/fte

Police officers

Police staff

SUPPORT FUNCTIONS

These charts provide a detailed breakdown of support service functions as a cost per FTE and a percentage of total NRE.




£5,000


£4,000


£3,000


£2,000

£1,000 £0









Avg Diff £m

8%]					Training
6% -					
4% -					
2% -					
0% 📕					
h	bс	d	е	fg	а

h

d be

Total FTE	3,453
Total NRE	£195m

	Cost £m	per FTE	Avg	Diff £m
Human resources	2.67	£772	£698	0.3
Finance	1.12	£324	£368	-0.2
ICT	9.27	£2,683	£2,187	1.7
Training	1.95	£564	£1,255	-2.4

 1.4%
 1.3%
 0.1

 0.6%
 0.7%
 -0.2

 4.8%
 4.1%
 1.2

 1.0%
 2.4%
 -2.7

% NRE

c fga


Source: POA estimates 2011/12

Staffordshire

ICT

NATIONAL POLICING

Objectives – cost per head of population by function



			Aver	Averages		Averages Diff &		£m
	£m	£/head	All	Group		All	Group	
Counter terrorism/special branch	1.0	0.95	3.06	1.17		-2.3	-0.2	
Other national policing requirements	0.0	-	0.57	1.22		-0.6	-1.3	
Hosting national services	0.0	-	-0.00	0.00		0.0	0.0	
Secondments (out of force)	0.9	0.82	0.23	0.72		0.6	0.1	
ACPO projects / initiatives	0.0	-	0.10	0.09		-0.1	-0.1	
Total	1.9	1.77	3.97	3.21		-2.3	-1.5	
Specific grants	0.6	0.55	2.91	1.67		-2.5	-1.2	
Cost net of grants	1.3	1.22	1.05	1.53		0.2	-0.3	

 % PO
 Avg

 82%
 78%

 na
 57%

 na
 19%

 79%
 87%


 na
 17%

 80%
 77%

Source: POA estimates 2011/12

Population


NATIONAL POLICING Use of resources





			Avera	ages	Diff	fte
Staffing	FTE	FTE/1000	All	Group	All	Group
Police officers	15	0.01	0.06	0.05	-44	11
PCSOs	-	-	0.00	-	-0	0
Police staff	5	0.00	0.02	0.01	-17	11
					Diff	£m
Expenditure	£m	£/head	All	Group	All	Group
Police officers	2.0	1.9	3.8	3.5	-2.0	-1.8
Police staff	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.4	-0.3	-0.0
Non-staff costs	0.1	0.1	0.7	0.5	-0.7	-0.4
						~ 7
Income	-0.6	-0.5	-1.1	-1.2	0.6	0.7

Specific grants	0.6	0.6	2.9	1.7
Cost net of grants	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.5

				Dif	f £m
Cost/fte		All	Group	All	Group
Police officers	£130k	£65k	£75k	1.0	0.8
Police staff	£87k	£33k	£39k	0.3	0.2







Source: POA estimates 2011/12


-1.2

-0.3

-2.5

0.2

Staffordshire



Population 1,068k	1					
	•		Avera	ages	Di	iff £m
	£m	£/head	All	Group	All	Group
Pensions & exit costs	2.9	2.73	2.61	1.38	0.1	1.4
Capital financing	4.4	4.13	2.40	3.33	1.8	3 0.9
Revenue to capital	0.4	0.40	1.01	1.50	-0.7	7 -1.2
Total central costs	7.8	7.26	6.03	6.21	1.3	3 1.1
Source: DOA estimates	2011/12					

Source: POA estimates 2011/12

Staffordshire

POTENTIAL TO INCREASE FRONTLINE

This table uses POA data to look at differences in the proportions of workforce that are police staff or PCSOs compared to the proportion that are officers. For each POA category the percentage of workforce who are staff or PCSOs in your force are compared to levels in other forces.

This comparison is done twice:

1) Comparing your force to the median percentage (is the % of staff who are police staff/PCSOs in the force with 'middle' performance (i.e. 21 forces have a higher % and 21 forces have a lower %)

2) comparing your force to the upper quartile (the % in the force which only a quarter of forces 'do better than' – so represents forces with higher proportions of police staff/PCSOs in these functions)


The number of posts this change represents to your force is also calculated, along with the cost of this difference. This cost of difference is based on the number of posts and the difference between the average (median) cost per office and average (median) cost per police staff/PCSO. These average costs include overtime but exclude agency staff and are calculated separately for each of the chosen POA categories.

The costs of difference are included for indicative purposes only – in particular they are not 'potential savings' (as it is unlikely that the full differences could be realised). The analysis focuses on thirteen POA categories where our analysis found significant costs of differences, where cost and staffing data was submitted by all/most forces and where there was some consistency of approach across different forces. The analysis does not include Specials or look at the 'Local Policing' POA category (where most Specials are counted and where a different analytical approach would be required).

Note: If total staff numbers per population in a particular category are less than a quarter of the national median for that category then the relevant rows in the table are left blank. This is because such low numbers either indicate a problem with the data or that the relevant function may be contracted out (and hence the calculations are not applicable).

			Difference			Difference from UQ		
	%Staff/	National	from median	Cost of	National	(no. of	Cost of	Difference in
	PCSOs	median %	(no. of posts)	difference	UQ %	posts)	difference	median pay
Local Call Centres / Front Desk	78%	100%	15	£357k	100%	15	£357k	23,781
Central Communications Unit	85%	88%	10	£254k	93%	20	£515k	25,304
Contact Management Units	0%	99%	0	£0k	100%	0	£0k	21,518
Criminal Justice	83%	92%	14	£370k	96%	22	£583k	26,636
Traffic Units	8%	7%	0	£0k	11%	1	£21k	21,561
Intelligence Analysis / Threat Assessments	98%	61%	0	£0k	73%	0	£0k	23,852
Intelligence Gathering	14%	23%	7	£156k	34%	15	£325k	22,210
Scenes of Crime Officers	100%	100%	0	£0k	100%	0	£0k	18,382
Other Forensic Services	100%	86%	0	£0k	100%	0	£0k	21,957
Human Resources	91%	97%	4	£132k	100%	6	£181k	30,269
Training	71%	44%	0	£0k	54%	0	£0k	19,264
Administration Support	100%	100%	0	£0k	100%	0	£0k	32,665
Grand Total			51	£1,269k		80	£1,983k	
Total CoD per population				1.8			2.5	

DATA RECORDING Crimes per 1,000 population



This chart compares crime rates for robbery and theft from person. Forces with low levels of robbery, but relatively high levels of theft from a person, or high levels of robbery, but relatively low levels of other theft from a person should assure themselves that guidance on coding is being properly adhered to.

Violence against the Person

Violence with injury Murder 1

1	Mardel
4.2	Infanticide
4.1	Manslaughter
2	Attempted murder
37.1	Causing death by aggravated vehicle taking
4.1	Corporate manslaughter
4.3	Intentional destruction of a viable unborn child
4.4	Causing death by dangerous driving
4.6	Causing death by careless driving under influence of drink or drugs
4.7	Causing or allowing death of child or vulnerable person
4.8	Causing death by careless or inconsiderate driving
4.4/6	Historic code
4.4/6/8	Historic code
4.9	Causing death by driving: unlicensed drivers etc.
5A	Wounding or carrying out an act endangering life (GBH with intent)
5B	Use of substance or object to endanger life
8F	Inflicting grievous bodily harm without intent
8G	Actually bodily harm and other injury
8A	Less serious wounding
8D	Racially or religiously aggravated less serious wounding
5	Wounding or other act endangering life
8H	Racially or religiously aggravated inflicting grievous bodily harm without intent
8J	Racially or religiously aggravated actual bodily harm and other injury
8K	Poisoning or female genital mutilation
Violence w	ithout Injury (excl crime prevention and public order offences, inc kidnapping)
8C	Historic – harassment and public fear
8E	Historic – harassment and public fear (RRA)
8M	Racially or religiously aggravated harassment
8L	Harassment
7	Endangering life at sea
6	Endangering railway passengers

- Threat or conspiracy to murder 3
- 3B Threats to kill
- ЗA Conspiracy to murder
- 36 Kidnapping
- 14 Procuring illegal abortion
- 13 Child abduction

- 12 Abandoning child under two years
- 11 Cruelty to and neglect of children
- 105B Racially or religiously aggravated assault without injury
- 105A Assault without injury
- 104 Assault without injury on a constable

Sexual offences

Rape

- 19A Rape of a female
- 19B Rape of a male
- 19C Rape of a female aged 16 and over
- 19D Rape of a female child under 16
- 19E Rape of a female child under 13
- 19F Rape of a male aged 16 and over
- 19G Rape of a male child under 16
- 19H Rape of a male child under 13

Other sexual offences (Excluding Rape)

- 17A Sexual assault on a male aged 13 and over
- 17B Sexual assault on a male child under 13
- 20A Sexual assault on a female aged 13 and over
- 20B Sexual assault on a female child under 13
- 21 Sexual activity involving a child under 13
- 22A Causing sexual activity without consent
- 22B Sexual activity involving child under 16
- 23 Incest or familial sexual offences
- 70 Sexual activity etc with a person with a mental disorder
- 71 Abuse of children through prostitution and pornography
- 72 Trafficking for sexual exploitation
- 73 Abuse of position of trust of a sexual nature
- 88A Sexual grooming
- 88C Other miscellaneous sexual offences
- 88D Unnatural sexual offences
- 88E Exposure and voyeurism
- 16 Buggery
- 17 Indecent assault on a male
- 18 Gross indecency between males
- 20 Indecent assault on a female
- 22 Unlawful sexual intercourse with a girl under 16
- 25 Abduction of female
- 74 Gross indecency with a child
- 139 Indecent exposure

Stealing

D		~	-	
D	ur	u	a	Ľ

Burglary	
0,1	Domestic Burglary
28	Domestic Burglary
28A	Burglary in a dwelling
28B	Attempted burglary in a dwelling
28C	Distraction burglary in a dwelling
28D	Attempted distraction burglary in a dwelling
29	Aggravated burglary in a dwelling
	Non Domestic Burglary
30	Historic code
30A	Burglary in a building other than a dwelling
30B	Attempted burglary in a building other than a dwelling
31	Aggravated burglary in a building other than a dwelling
30	Historic code
	Robbery personal
34B	Robbery of personal property
	Robbery Business
34A	Robbery of business property
	Vehicle crime inc interference
37.2	Aggravated vehicle taking
37.2 48	Theft or unauthorised taking of motor vehicle
40 126	Interfering with a motor vehicle
45	Theft from vehicle
45	
Other stea	ling
39	Theft from the person
40	Theft in a dwelling other than from an automatic machine or meter
44	Theft or unauthorised taking of a pedal cycle
49	Other theft
05	Dia aliana a'il

- 35 Blackmail
- 41 Theft by an employee
- 42 Theft of mail
- 43 Dishonest use of electricity
- 47 Theft from automatic machine or meter

Shoplifting

Shoplifting 46

Criminal Damage & Arson

- 56
- 56A Arson endangering life
- 56B Arson not endangering life
- 58A Criminal damage to a dwelling
- 58B Criminal damage to a building other than a dwelling
- 58C Criminal damage to a vehicle
- 58D Other criminal damage
- 58E Racially or religiously aggravated criminal damage to a dwelling
- 58F Racially or religiously aggravated criminal damage to a building other than a dwelling
- 58G Racially or religiously aggravated criminal damage to a vehicle
- 58H Racially or religiously aggravated other criminal damage

Public Disorder

- 9A Public fear, alarm or distress
- 9B Racially or religiously aggravated public fear, alarm or distress
- 64 Riot
- 65 Violent disorder
- 66 Other offences against the State or public order
- 62 Treason
- 63 Treason -felony

Drugs Offences

Drug Trafficking

92A Trafficking in controlled drugs

Possession or using drugs

- 92C Other drug offences
- 92D Possession of controlled drugs (excl. Cannabis)
- 92E Possession of controlled drugs (Cannabis)
- 92B Historic code Possession of controlled drugs

Crimes disrupted

- 8B Historic code possession of weapons (needs its own row)
- 5C Possession of items to endanger life
- 10A Possession of firearms with intent
- 10B Possession of firearms offences
- 10C Possession of other weapons
- 10D Possession of article with blade or point
- 33 Going equipped for stealing, etc
- 53J Possession of articles for use in fraud
- 54 Handling stolen goods
- 59 Threat or possession with intent to commit criminal damage
- 61A Possession of false documents
- 90 Other knife offences

Other non victim based

- 15 Concealing an infant death close to birth
- 26 Bigamy
- 55 Bankruptcy and insolvency
- 67 Perjury
- 68 Libel
- 75 Betting, gaming and lotteries
- 76 Aiding suicide
- 78 Immigration Acts
- 79 Perverting the course of justice
- 80 Absconding from lawful custody
- 81 Other firearms offences
- 82 Customs and Revenue offences
- 83 Bail offences
- 84 Trade descriptions etc
- 85 Health and Safety offences
- 86 Obscene publications etc
- 87 Protection from eviction
- 89 Adulteration of food
- 91 Public health offences
- 94 Planning laws
- 95 Disclosure, obstruction, false or misleading statements etc
- 99 Other notifiable offences (class 98/99)
- 802 Dangerous driving
- 814 Fraud, forgery etc associated with vehicle or driver records
- 27 Soliciting for the purposes of prostitution
- 24 Exploitation of prostitution
- 38 Profiting from or concealing knowledge of the proceeds of crime
- 69 Offender management act
- 53H Making or supplying articles for use in fraud

Excluded - Fraud and forgery

- 51 Fraud by company director
- 52 False accounting
- 53B Preserved other fraud and repealed fraud offences (pre Fraud Act 2006)
- 53C Fraud by false representation: cheque, plastic card and online bank accounts (not PSP)
- 53D Fraud by false representation: other frauds
- 53E Fraud by failing to disclose information
- 53F Fraud by abuse of position
- 60 Forgery or use of false drug prescription
- 61 Other forgery
- 53A Cheque and credit card fraud (pre Fraud Act 2006)
- 53G Obtaining services dishonestly (to be discontinued)

Appendix 2 - POA Categories

POA data are split into 12 categories, which sub-divide into headings as follows:

1) Local Policing

- a. Neighbourhood Policing
- b. Incident (Response) Management
- c. Local Investigation
- d. Specialist Community Liaison
- e. Local Command Team and Support Overheads

2) Dealing with the Public

- a. Local Call Centres / Front Desk
- b. Central Communications Unit
- c. Contact Management Units
- d. Dealing with the Public Command Team and Support Overheads

3) Criminal Justice Arrangements

- a. Custody / Prisoner Handling
- b. Criminal Justice
- c. Police National Computer
- d. Criminal Record Bureau
- e. Coroner Assistance
- f. Fixed Penalty Schemes (Central Ticket Office)
- g. Property Officer / Stores
- h. Criminal Justice Arrangements Command Team and Support Overheads

4) Road Policing

- a. Traffic Units
- b. Traffic wardens / Police Community Support Officers Traffic
- c. Vehicle Recovery
- d. Casualty Reduction Partnership
- e. Road policing Command Team and Support Overheads

5) Specialist Operations

- a. Central Operations Command Team and Support Overheads
- b. Air Operations
- c. Mounted Police
- d. Specialist Terrain
- e. Dogs Section
- f. Level 1 Advanced Public Order
- g. Airport & Ports Policing Unit
- h. Firearms Unit
- i. Civil Contingencies and Planning

6) Intelligence

- a. Central Intelligence Command Team and Support Overheads
- b. Intelligence Analysis / Threat Assessments
- c. Intelligence Gathering

7) Specialist Investigations

- a. Crime Support Command Team and Support Overheads
- b. Major Investigation Unit
- c. Economic Crime (including Regional Asset Recovery Team)
- d. Specialist Investigation Units
- e. Serious & Organised Crime Unit
- f. Public Protection
- 8) Investigative Support
 - a. Scenes of Crime Officers
 - b. External Forensic Costs
 - c. Fingerprint / DNA Bureau
 - d. Photographic Image Recovery
 - e. Other Forensic Services
 - f. Investigative Support Command Team and Support Overheads

9) National Policing

- a. Secondments (out of force)
- b. Counter Terrorism / Special Branch
- c. ACPO Projects / Initiatives
- d. Hosting National Services
- e. Other National Policing Requirements

10) Support Functions

- a. Human Resources
- b. Finance
- c. Legal
- d. Fleet Services
- e. Estates / Central Building Costs
- f. Information Communication Technology
- g. Professional Standards
- h. Press and Media
- i. Performance Review / Corporate Development
- j. Procurement
- k. Training
- 1. Administration Support
- m. Force Command
- n. Support to Associations and Trade Unions
- o. Freedom of Information
- p. Social Club Support and Force band
- q. Insurance / Risk Management
- r. Catering

11) Police Authority

- a. Democratic Representation
- b. Police Authority Support
- c. Other Costs
- d. Treasury Management
- e. Internal Audit

12) Central Costs

- a. Revenue Contribution to Capital
- b. Capital Financing
- c. Pensions and Exit Costs