Data in this profile was correct at time of production in November 2011. However, historical data is occasionally revised by police forces, so the data in the Profile may not match the latest published statistics.



HMIC Value for Money Profiles 2011

Amended 9th November 2011

Gloucestershire Constabulary

compared with all forces in England and Wales

The forces in the most similar group can be identified in the charts in this section by using the key below

- a Gloucestershire
- **b** Cambridgeshire
- **c** Cheshire
- d Devon & Cornwall
- e North Yorkshire
- f Warwickshire
- g West Mercia
- **h** Wiltshire

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of the profiles is to help you compare forces' performance and resources. The key features are:

- Designed for use by force management, police authorities (and PCCs) as well as HMIC.
- Contain a wide range of information, in a single easy to use document.
- Presented in a single format to enable you to target attention on the key differences which require explanation and action to improve.
- Timely being published during October, when key budget decisions are being taken.
- Not league tables or targets

The profiles and their database represents probably millions of individual judgements made by a range of staff from police officers at the scene of a crime, to accountants and HR staff. With so many human decisions, complete accuracy would be extremely costly. But there needs to be sufficient checking to make the data fit for purpose. It needs to be good enough for local users to identify meaningful differences.

The explanatory value of comparisons however also depends on more than just getting the numbers right. The categories themselves must not introduce distortions. And the cluster of data for each force needs to be compared with that for similar forces. The remainder of this introduction deals with each of these points.

- How the data has been checked
- How the crime and financial data is compared using a new taxonomy
- · How forces are compared

Checking the data

The bulk of the non financial data has already been through local and national checking. And while the new Police Objective Analysis (POA) is now sufficiently mature to use in the profiles, it is still a large and difficult dataset to collect for each force. To bring it up to a useable standard, HMIC has made a considerable effort to improve quality by applying a systematic quality assurance process:

- Chartered Institute of Public Finance (CIPFA) applied arithmetic and reconciliation checks;
- each force was asked to check statistical outliers; and
- each force received a draft profile to check again, before the final profile you are reading was produced.

As a result of this checking process, just under half of forces made changes to the draft, a handful of forces have informed us of accounting errors, which they have been unable to fix in time. For example, fleet service's costs incorrectly allocated to transport costs. HMIC have strongly encouraged forces to make the necessary changes, but forces are responsible for the data they have contributed.

Cleveland and Essex provided some anomalous data, the former related to Finance and the latter related to Police Authority costs. The figures have been excluded from the averages.

New taxonomy for finance and crime data

Police Objective Analysis – Useful comparisons depend on unambiguous categories, clearly defined and correctly completed. The Police Objective Analysis (POA) which has been running for three years is included in this year's profiles, replacing a large part of the Home Office's Annual Data Return (ADR). The POA is better in a number of respects:

- more up to date groupings and definitions (called Objectives) than the ADR.
- more accurate allocation of subjective costs such as staff, supplies and services etc
- includes costs and staff numbers, while the ADR only counted staff.

One issue with this data arises where forces have engaged in joint working, for example in the provision of air support. In such cases the exporting force will show the gross costs of provision both to itself and to its partners. It should also show the corresponding income so that its net cost ought not to be distorted, and most of the comparisons shown here are of net costs. However its gross costs per head will be distorted, as will the national gross costs, since the cost of the exported services will have been counted twice. In the tables that show gross costs and income, some indication of this can be obtained where the level of income shown is noticeably higher than the average.

A similar distortion can occur where a service such as custody is bought in from the private sector. In this case the total gross cost will not be distorted, but its component costs, eg staff versus non-staff costs, will not be comparable.

For next year's profiles HMIC will work with CIPFA, ACPO Finance and Police Authority Treasurers to try to address this issue. One proposal is to introduce a new cost sub heading "collaboration payments" corresponding to the income heading which already exists. These could be netted off to avoid the exaggeration of gross costs.

Victim based crime - This year's profiles also include a better analysis of crimes and detections, by comparing separately victim based crimes from non victim based crimes. The latter includes state based crimes such as public order and cannabis offences which are often a reflection of police activity. Victim based crimes are further broken down in to four comprehensive crime types: violence, sexual, stealing and criminal damage & arson. Where we can, commercial crimes are highlighted. The crime mapper is also moving towards this taxonomy.

Like beauty, the validity of comparisons is often in the eye of the beholder. If you prefer to construct your own group or compare with a particular force, say with other forces implementing restorative justice, we can provide it. The standard profiles however, use the Home Office's most similar group average. These compare each force with a group of other most similar forces based on a basket of demographic variables. These variables are correlated with crime, fear of crime amongst other variables. So force differences, for instance in crime rates, could be attributed to the way the force is managed and crucially the relative level of resources.

We also use the MSG average for comparing costs and staffing. While it was not designed for this purpose, it does not seem unreasonable as a point of departure. Local market factors clearly affect cost comparisons too, but they do not affect the comparison of staff numbers – a PC is a PC whether in London or Suffolk. For support costs we present further charts to enable comparisons.

Adopting your suggestions

We also received about 75 written submissions on the how the profiles could be improved. We have tried to include as many as possible, the main suggestions were for better labelling and explanations.

Common gueries

The three most common queries received are worth answering here. The first was about the rule applied to highlight particular differences. The difference is highlighted if the indicator puts the force in the top or bottom 10 percent and the effect of the difference is greater than £1 per head of population. The other query was about the population base. The profiles adopt the mid 2009 population estimate to align with Home Office publications especially crime rates. The third concerned changes in the way we calculate differences in the mix of staff, for example on page 55 - %age Police Officer. Rather than basing the calculation on ftes, we have used gross costs as the denominator for two reasons. First, it takes better account of comparisons where some forces have contracted out services, such as custody. Second, the costs of police officers vary between forces

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section One - Offences & Outcomes

	Introd	uctio	n
Reco	rded offences & sanction detections		
10	All Crimes	16	Sexual offences
12	Victim based	18	Stealing
14	Violence Against the Person	20	Non Victim Based
Chan	ges in recorded offences		
22	All Crimes	25	Sexual offences
23	Victim based	26	Stealing
24	Violence Against the Person	27	Non Victim Based
Other	rindicators		
28	Changes In Sanction Detections	31	Charges
29	Sanction Detections By Type	32	Overall Satisfaction and Perceptions of ASB
30	Changes In Detection Types		
	Section Two – Wo	rlefa	area and Coats
	Introd	uctio	on
Work			
35	Workforce Summary	41	Force Breakdown against Group Average
36	Workforce Trends	42	Leavers
37	Police officers by Rank	43	Joiners
38	Police Workforce and Crime	44	Sickness & Other Long Term Absence
39	Arrest To Charge	45	Police officers Length of Service
40	Force Breakdown against National Average		
	all expenditure		
46	Expenditure Summary	50	Financing of Expenditure
47	Police officers	51	Earned Income
48	Police Staff and PCSOs	52	Funding Source Trends
49	Non-Staff Costs as % of Workforce Costs		
Expe	nditure by objective		
53	Workforce By Function	65	Intelligence
54	Net Revenue Exp By Function	67	Specialist Investigations
55	Local Policing	69	Investigative Support
57	Dealing With the Public	71	Support Functions
60	Criminal Justice Arrangements	74	National Policing
63	Specialist Operations	76	Central Costs
77	Potential To Increase Frontline	78	Data Recording

Appendices


79 Appendix 1 – Crime Codes 84 Appendix 2 – POA Categories

Section One - Offences & Outcomes

INTRODUCTION

This section focuses on criminal offences dealt with by each force and resulting outcomes. Crime and sanction detections are presented in a new format developed by HMIC in consultation with stakeholders as displayed below:

The intention is to differentiate between crimes that are victim based, and those that are driven by police activity:



Data is shown as offences per 1,000 population.

Definitions of offences in each category can be found in Appendix 1.

Note that fraud and forgery are generally excluded from all crime as we recognise that the recording in this area is not as robust as other crime categories.

A sanction detection means that a recorded crime has been resolved by the police. The types of outcomes are:

- > Caution police have identified a suspect and issued them with a caution
- > Penalty notice for disorder a fine issued by the police for anti-social behaviour
- > Charge summons the suspect has been charged and/or brought to court
- > Taken into consideration (TIC) courts take this offence into consideration when sentencing for other crimes

Expected sanction detection volumes are calculated by modelling what force detections would be should the force align to the peer average.


Where averages are included these are the average of all forces excluding the City of London and Metropolitan police, (except in the profiles for these forces themselves). The averages quoted are simple unweighted averages and not weighted national averages.

This section also contains details on the most up to date arrest data, which is not published until April 2012.

Changes over time for crimes and sanction detections are measured against the baseline of 2008/09 due to the introduction of public order offences.

RECORDED OFFENCES - ALL CRIMES

2010/11 per 1,000 population




Population 589k

	Offences	per 1000	Avg	Differe	nce
Victim based	31,867	54.1	57.8	-2,189	-6.4%
Non victim based	3,180	5.4	7.3	-1,132	-26.3%
Total exc fraud	35,047	59.5	65.1	-3,321	-8.7%


Fraud & forgery	997	1.7	2.0
Grand total	36,044	61.2	67.1


Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11




SANCTION DETECTIONS - ALL CRIMES

The actual % expected figures shows your detection rate as a percentage of the national average detection rate. Hence if above 100%, you are achieving more sanction detections than the national average.







	Offences	SDs	%	Avg	Ехр	Diff
Victim based	31,867	5,946	19%	23%	7,095	-1,149
Non victim based	3,180	2,315	73%	83%	2,670	-355
Total exc fraud	35,047	8,261	24%	30%	9,766	-1,505




Fraud & forgery	997	180	18%	30%	301	-121
-----------------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	------

Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11








Gloucestershire

RECORDED OFFENCES - VICTIM BASED 2010/11 per 1,000 population



Difference -1,105 -17

-8

130 -1,205

-2,189

-17% -2%

1%

-16%

-6%

Population	589k


	Offences	per 1000	Avg
Violence against the person	5,289	9.0	10.9
Sexual offences	529	0.9	0.9
Stealing	19,672	33.4	33.2
Criminal damage & arson	6,377	10.8	12.9
Victim based offences	31,867	54.1	57.8

Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11

	20 7	Violence against	the person
	15		
_	10 -		
	5 -		
	0		
		b cgd	hafe
	1.4	Sex	cual offences
	1.0 -		
	0.6 - 0.4 -		
Г	0.2		
1	(5.5	gd b haf	ec
ш			
ш	60 7		Stealing
	50 -		
	40 -	III	
L	40 - 30 -		IIII III
H	40 -		
ŀ	40 - 30 - 20 -	h to o di	
ŀ	40 - 30 - 20 - 10 -	b fa c gl	n e d
	40 30 20 10 0		
-	20]	b fa c gl	
	40 - 30 - 10 - 10 - 0 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15		
	40 - 30 - 20 - 10 - 0 - 15 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10		
	40 - 30 - 10 - 10 - 0 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15		
	20 10 0 15 - 10 5 - 10 - 5 - 10 - 10 - 10		age & arson

Gloucestershire

SANCTION DETECTIONS - VICTIM BASED




Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11

Sexual offences

Stealing

Gloucestershire

RECORDED OFFENCES - VIOLENCE AGAINST THE PERSON 2010/11 per 1,000 population




Population	589k
------------	------

	Offences	per 1000	Avg	Difference)
With injury	2,994	5.1	6.3	-688 -	19%
Without injury	2,295	3.9	4.6	-417 -	15%
Violence against person	5,289	9.0	10.9	-1,105 -	17%

Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11

Gloucestershire

SANCTION DETECTIONS - VIOLENCE AGAINST THE PERSON



Diff

-299 -255

-554

Exp 1,297


960

	Offences	SDs	%	Avg
With injury	2,994	998	33%	43%
Without injury	2,295	705	31%	42%
Violence against persor	5,289	1,703	32%	43%

Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11

Gloucestershire

RECORDED OFFENCES - SEXUAL OFFENCES 2010/11 per 1,000 population



4% -4%

-2%


Population 589k

	Offences	per 1000	Avg	Differer	ice
Rape	164	0.28	0.27	7	
Other sexual offences	365	0.62	0.65	-15	
Sexual offences	529	0.90	0.91	-8	-:

Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11

Gloucestershire

SANCTION DETECTIONS - SEXUAL OFFENCES




	Offences	SDs	%	Avg	Exp	Diff
Rape	164	36	22%	26%	43	-7
Other sexual offences	365	100	27%	33%	120	-20
Sexual offences	529	136	26%	31%	163	-27

Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11

Gloucestershire


RECORDED OFFENCES - STEALING 2010/11 per 1,000 population




Population 589k

	Offences	per 1000	Avg	Diffe	rence
Robbery					
Personal	286	0.5	0.6	-62	-18%
Business	24	0.0	0.1	-24	-50%
	310	0.5	0.7	-86	-22%
Burglary					
Domestic	2,644	4.5	3.8	390	17%
Non-domestic	3,346	5.7	4.9	481	17%
	5,990	10.2	8.7	871	17%
Vehicle crime	3,991	6.8	6.8	-7	0%
Shoplifting	2,889	4.9	5.5	-331	-10%
Other stealing	6,492	11.0	11.6	-317	-5%
Stealing	19,672	33.4	33.2	130	1%

Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11






Gloucestershire

SANCTION DETECTIONS - STEALING


2010/11 per 1,000 population



Population 589k

	Offences	SDs	%	Avg	Exp	Diff
Robbery						
Personal	286	54	19%	28%	82	-28
Business	24	3	13%	39%	9	-6
	310	57	18%	30%	91	-34
Burglary						
Domestic	2,644	709	27%	20%	537	172
Non-domestic	3,346	495	15%	10%	344	151
	5,990	1,204	20%	14%	882	322
Vehicle crime	3,991	565	14%	13%	534	31
Shoplifting	2,889	1,127	39%	59%	1,719	-592
Other stealing	6,492	516	8%	9%	566	-50
Stealing	19,672	3,469	18%	20%	3,791	-322

Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11



ed а c bg hf

Gloucestershire

dg h

boe

Business robbery

g be fc ha

Domestic burglary

d

Non-domestic burglary

сb

e f


h g f

h g

е

f a


RECORDED OFFENCES - NON VICTIM BASED 2010/11 per 1,000 population




Population 589k

	Offences	per 1000	Avg	Differe	ence
Drug trafficking	229	0.4	0.5	-93	-29%
Drug possession	1,264	2.1	2.8	-409	-24%
Drug offences	1,493	2.5	3.4	-501	-25%
Public disorder	1,141	1.9	2.8	-487	-30%
Crimes disrupted	367	0.6	0.8	-87	-19%
Other non victim based	179	0.3	0.4	-56	-24%
Non victim based	3,180	5.4	7.3	-1,132	-26%


Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11





Gloucestershire

SANCTION DETECTIONS - NON VICTIM BASED




	Offences	SDs	%	Avg	Exp	Diff
Drug trafficking	229	205	90%	90%	206	-1
Drug possession	1,264	1,144	91%	96%	1,213	-69
Drug offences	1,493	1,349	90%	95%	1,419	-70
Public disorder	1,141	612	54%	72%	822	-210
Crimes disrupted	367	255	69%	81%	298	-43
Other non victim based	179	99	55%	73%	131	-32
Non victim based	3,180	2,315	73%	83%	2,670	-355

Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11

RECORDED OFFENCES - ALL CRIMES

Change 2008/09 to 2010/11


HMIC



page 22

Gloucestershire

RECORDED OFFENCES - VICTIM BASED Change 2008/09 to 2010/11




Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11

Stealing

Gloucestershire

RECORDED OFFENCES - VIOLENCE AGAINST THE PERSON Change 2008/09 to 2010/11



	2008/09	2010/11
With injury	3,470	2,994
Without injury	3,392	2,295
Violence against person	6,862	5,289


Change	Avg
-14%	-11%
-32%	7%
-23%	-5%

Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11

Gloucestershire

RECORDED OFFENCES - SEXUAL OFFENCES

Change 2008/09 to 2010/11



Avg

22%

4%

8%


	2008/09	2010/11	Change
Rape	145	164	13%
Other sexual offences	381	365	-4%
Sexual offences	526	529	1%

Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11

Gloucestershire

RECORDED OFFENCES - STEALING


Change 2008/09 to 2010/11





Population	589k


	2008/09	2010/11	Chan	ge	Avg
Robbery					
Personal	226	286	27	7 %	-12%
Business	33	24	-27	7 %	-13%
	259	310	20)%	-13%
Burglary					
Domestic	2,292	2,644	15	5%	-10%
Non-domestic	3,531	3,346	-5	5%	-11%
	5,823	5,990	3	3%	-11%
Vehicle crime	5,287	3,991	-25	5%	-27%
Shoplifting	3,294	2,889	-12	2%	-5%
Other stealing	7,260	6,492	-11	%	-1%
Stealing	21,923	19,672	-10)%	-11%


Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11












Gloucestershire

RECORDED OFFENCES - NON VICTIM BASED Change 2008/09 to 2010/11





Change in SD%	2008/09	2010/11	Change	Average
Victim based	25%	19%	-7%	0%
Non victim based	79%	73%	-6%	-2%
All crime exc fraud & forgery	31%	24%	-7%	0%
Fraud & forgery	30%	18%	-12%	-5%
Victim based				
Violence against the person	44%	32%	-11%	-2%
Sexual offences	28%	26%	-2%	0%
Stealing	24%	18%	-6%	0%
Criminal damage & arson	16%	10%	-6%	-1%
Non victim based				
Public disorder	68%	54%	-15%	-5%
Drug offences	92%	90%	-2%	-1%
Crimes disrupted	81%	69%	-11%	-4%
Other non victim based	58%	55%	-3%	-4%
Violence against the person				
VAP with injury	42%	33%	-9%	-1%
VAP without Injury	45%	31%	-14%	-3%
Sexual offences				
Rape	23%	22%	-1%	1%
Other sexual offences	29%	27%	-2%	0%
Stealing				
Personal robbery	32%	19%	-13%	2%
Business robbery	48%	13%	-36%	0%
Domestic burglary	18%	27%	9%	2%
Non Domestic burglary	16%	15%	-1%	-1%
Vehicle crime	22%	14%	-8%	1%
Shoplifting	67%	39%	-28%	-5%
Other stealing	10%	8%	-2%	-1%
Drugs				
Drug trafficking	88%	90%	2%	0%
Drug possession	93%	91%	-3%	-1%


Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11


SANCTION DETECTIONS BY TYPE 2010/11

These charts show how different types of sanction detections are used.










	%	Avg
Charge summons	49%	59%
Cautions	22%	22%
Penalty notices	2%	7%
Cannabis warnings	5%	5%
Taken into consideration	22%	7%
	100%	100%





Gloucestershire


CHANGES IN DETECTION TYPES


Changes 2008/09 to 2010/11

These charts show how the proportions of the types of sanction detections have changed since 2008/09. Note that up to 2008/09 cannabis warnings were recorded as FPN detections, from this point they have moved into 'Other'.









	2008/09	2010/11
Charge summons	49%	49%
Cautions	28%	22%
Penalty notices	5%	2%
Cannabis warnings	4%	5%
Taken into consideration	15%	22%
Total	100%	100%

Change	Avg
1%	6%
-7%	-3%
-2%	-1%
1%	0%
7%	-1%

Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11


CHARGES 2010/11

These charts and tables show the overall charge rates and compare them with the expected charge rates. The expected charge rates show what the force would have achieved if they had matched the average for each crime type. The term charges relates to crime offences cleared up by means of charge or summons.

	Offences	Charges	%	Avg	expected
VAP with injury	2,994	674	23%	30%	-220
VAP without Injury	2,295	423	18%	28%	-215
Rape	164	36	22%	26%	-6
Other sexual offences	365	88	24%	27%	-12
Domestic burglary	2,644	159	6%	12%	-147
Non-domestic burglary	3,346	131	4%	6%	-71
Robbery of personal propert	286	53	19%	27%	-25
Business robbery	24	3	13%	39%	-6
Vehicle crime	3,991	153	4%	6%	-104
Shoplifting	2,889	693	24%	34%	-294
Other stealing	6,492	215	3%	5%	-131
Criminal damage & arson	6,377	332	5%	8%	-190
Public disorder	1,141	342	30%	44%	-161
Drug trafficking	229	162	71%	72%	-3
Drug possession	1,264	328	26%	30%	-50
Crimes disrupted	367	193	53%	62%	-33
Other non victim based	179	76	42%	62%	-35
Total exc fraud	35,047	4,061	12%	17%	-1,704
Fraud & forgery	997	101	10%	20%	-97

Diff from

-1,802



Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11

All crime

Gloucestershire

HMIC page 31

4,162 12%

18%


36,044


OVERALL SATISFACTION AND PERCEPTIONS OF ASB

2010/11 percentage satisfied

These charts show user satisfaction for the 'whole experience' (excluding RTC), and users perceiving a high level of ASB in their area.

95% confidence intervals are also shown.





		Avg
% Satisfied	81.2%	83.9%
Confidence interval	1.6%	0.2%

		Avg
Perceptions of ASB	9.7%	13.7%
Confidence interval	2.8%	3.0%

Source: BCS and Victims of Crime Survey 2010/11

Gloucestershire

Section Two - Workforce and Costs

INTRODUCTION

This section looks at both how a force deploys its workforce and the associated costs of each of the 12 headline categories within the POA. POA subcategory information on costs is also presented.

POA 2011/12 estimates are used for all cost and workforce data unless stated otherwise. These data are taken as a snapshot as at 10th October. Any updates to the data which are made after this time will not be reflected in the profile.

Workforce data comprises full-time equivalent (FTE) figures. In POA estimates these are calculated as the number of staff budgeted for each staff type. Within support services, staff levels are less likely to be affected by local demographics and are therefore presented as number of staff per FTE.

Local policing workforce by function

The POA data is initially divided into twelve groups:

Local policing

Dealing with the public

Roads policing

Specialist operations

Intelligence

Specialist investigations

Investigative support

Criminal justice

Support functions

Police Authority

Central costs

National policing

A new addition to this version of the profiles is a page which aims to identify potential to increase the frontline. More information on this can be found on page 77.

Throughout the profiles the chart scales differ and the differences shown may not be as significant as they first appear.

Key to the calculations

Averages are simple unweighted averages including the force.

Please note: as the Metropolitan Police data distorts the chart scales, the Met has been excluded from all force profiles except for its own, as has the City of London.

Non-staff expenditure is shown as a percentage of workforce expenditure, since the main determining factor of most non-staff costs is the size of the workforce.

Workforce data for support functions is shown as FTE per 100 workforce to compare the size of the support function in relation to the workforce.

The profiles use a different calculation for net revenue expenditure to CIPFA; it is calculated as total expenditure minus income to show the total cost of policing to the taxpayer.

Where earned income is referred to, this is covers partnership income, sales fees charges and rents, special police services, reimbursed income and interest.

For each objective function we also indicate what proportion of the gross expenditure is accounted for by the cost of police officers.


We also show support costs as %age of net revenue expenditure as a local deflator.


How to use this section


Police authorities and forces should focus on those charts where the force is an outlier, i.e. where they are significantly different from the average, or where they are particularly high or low. Outliers are highlighted in red in the tables of the 'all forces' profiles and fall within the top or bottom 10% of forces and with a financial value of more than £1 per head. They should explore the reasons for any differences by looking at the force as a whole, using relevant local knowledge. Staffing levels should also be considered in the context of workforce modernisation, collaboration efforts and the outsourcing of services.


WORKFORCE - SUMMARY 2011/12 Estimates


These charts show the overall police workforce per 1,000 population which are then broken down into police officers, police staff and PCSOs. Specials numbers are headcount.

















Population 589k

	FTE	per 1,000	Avg	Diff
Police officers	1,233	2.09	2.14	-30
Police staff	685	1.16	1.35	-111
PCSOs	150	0.25	0.25	4
Total	2,069	3.51	3.74	-137


 % Total
 Avg

 60%
 57%

 33%
 36%

 7%
 7%

 100%
 100%



Source: POA estimates 2011/12

 Special constables
 180
 0.31
 0.30
 2

Source: ADR 502

nb Specials numbers are headcount and taken from ADR 502 as opposed to POA as recording of specials in POA was not consistent

Gloucestershire

WORKFORCE TRENDS

Changes March 2006 to March 2011

These tables show workforce changes since March 2006 using ADR 601 data. These highlight the impact of workforce modernisation and the introduction of PCSOs. Population figures for mid 2009 used for all calculations

FTE

	Mar-06	Mar-07	Mar-08	Mar-09	Mar-10	Mar-11
Police officers	1,303	1,319	1,353	1,372	1,309	1,262
PCSOs	72	169	162	161	148	139
Police staff	694	718	700	708	729	707
Total	2,070	2,206	2,215	2,241	2,185	2,108

FTE / 1,000 population

Police officers	2.21	2.24	2.30	2.33	2.22	2.14
PCSOs	0.12	0.29	0.28	0.27	0.25	0.24
Police staff	1.18	1.22	1.19	1.20	1.24	1.20
Total	3.51	3.75	3.76	3.80	3.71	3.58

Average

Police officers	2.28	2.28	2.26	2.28	2.25	2.18
PCSOs	0.09	0.20	0.23	0.25	0.25	0.24
Police staff	1.29	1.32	1.32	1.43	1.45	1.34
Total	3.66	3.81	3.82	3.96	3.96	3.76

Source: ADR 601 2010/11 as at March 2011

Change
-3%
93%
2%
2%


-4% 163% 4% 3%


POLICE OFFICERS/PCSOs BY RANK


March 2011 % of FTE


These charts show the percentage of the total officer and PCSO workforce by rank. The chart for superintendents includes chief superintendents, and the chart for inspectors includes chief inspectors. Association of Chief Police Officers (ACPO) are officers above the rank of chief superintendents.


Additionally two charts show numbers of constables (and PCSOs) per sergeant giving an indication of the supervision requirement for each sergeant.














	FTE	%	Avg
ACPO	4	0.3%	0.2%
Chief Superintendent	5	0.4%	0.3%
Superintendent	11	0.8%	0.7%
Chief Inspector	17	1.2%	1.3%
Inspector	75	5.4%	4.6%
Sergeant	200	14.2%	14.3%
Constable	949	67.8%	68.5%
PCSO	139	9.9%	10.2%
Force total	1,401	100.0%	100.0%

Constables per Sergeant	4.8	4.8
Const. & PCSOs per Sergeant	5.5	5.5








Gloucestershire


POLICE WORKFORCE AND CRIME


March 2011 Workforce, 2010/11 crime

These charts show numbers of police officers allocated to community duties in relation to the amount of crime in the force. While police officers are not just dealing with crime, the numbers of crimes per police officer allocated to community duties (Neighbourhood, response and traffic units) gives some indication of how busy these officers are compared to their peer group of forces.

NB: this does not include officers attending incidents which do not result in a notifiable offence.







ı	Community police officers (POA)	658
	Community Come Come Come (1 Com)	

Crime	N	per CPO	Avg
Victim based	31,867	48	55
Non victim based	3,180	5	7
Total	35,047	53	62

Source: Home Office Crime Statistics 2010/11


Gloucestershire

ARREST TO CHARGE

2010/11

These charts show the number of arrests per crime, as well as arrests, charges and sanction detections per community police officer (community police officers are those deployed in neighbourhood, response and traffic units).


NB: The latest arrest data has been used 2010/11. The arrest data does not include arrests for non-notifiable offences but does include fraud and forgery (accounting for around 2% of arrests). Arrests shown are for notifiable offences only, but there are non-notifiable arrests & other detentions which are not counted by Home Office.





Community police officers	658
Crimes	36,044
Arrests	9,822

	Force	Avg
Arrests per 100 crimes	27	35

	N	per CPO	Avg
Arrests	9,822	15	21
Sanction detections	8,441	13	19
Charges	4,162	6	11







Sources: Arrest data: ADR 121-124 2010/11, Detection data: Home Office Crime Statistics Fraud & forgery are included

Gloucestershire

Force breakdown against national average

Population 589k

E	Budgeted : FTE	staff		Staff per I			All-force A	U			Diff from	all	
	РО	PS	Total	РО	PS	Total	РО	PS	Total		РО	PS	Total
- Local investigation	125	0	125	0.21	-	0.21	0.27	0.04	0.31		-34	-24	-58
- Other local policing	638	176	815	1.08	0.30	1.38	1.06	0.31	1.37		14	-7	6
Total local policing	763	176	940	1.30	0.30	1.60	1.33	0.35	1.68	#	-21	-32	-52
Dealing with the public	38	124	161	0.06	0.21	0.27	0.05	0.29	0.33		10	-46	-36
Road policing	68	4	72	0.12	0.01	0.12	0.11	0.03	0.14		2	-13	-11
Specialist operations	78	7	85	0.13	0.01	0.14	0.14	0.02	0.16		-5	-4	-8
Intelligence	52	41	93	0.09	0.07	0.16	0.09	0.07	0.16		0	1	1
Specialist investigations	62	40	102	0.10	0.07	0.17	0.20	0.08	0.28		-58	-5	-62
Investigative support	7	52	59	0.01	0.09	0.10	0.01	0.09	0.09		2	1	3
Criminal justice	18	109	127	0.03	0.18	0.21	0.07	0.23	0.31		-25	-30	-55
Support functions	72	267	339	0.12	0.45	0.58	0.09	0.42	0.51		20	20	40
Police authority	0	5	5	-	0.01	0.01	-	0.01	0.01		0	-1	-1
Central costs	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-		0	0	0
Sub-total	1,158	824	1,982	1.96	1.40	3.36	2.09	1.58	3.67		-74	-108	-181
National policing	76	11	87	0.13	0.02	0.15	0.06	0.02	0.08		43	-1	42
Total	1,233	835	2,069	2.09	1.42	3.51	2.14	1.60	3.74		-30	-107	-137

Force breakdown against group average

Population 589k


	Budgeted staf FTE	f		Staff per h				Group aver FTE / 1,000	•		Diff from gr FTE	roup	
	PO	PS	Total	PO	PS	Total		РО	PS	Total	РО	PS	Total
- Local investigation	125	0	125	0.21	0.00	0.21		0.26	0.05	0.31	-29	-29	-58
- Other local policing	638	176	815	1.08	0.30	1.38		0.88	0.31	1.19	117	-4	113
Total local policing	763	176	940	1.30	0.30	1.60	ĺ	1.15	0.36	1.50	88	-33	55
Dealing with the public	38	124	161	0.06	0.21	0.27		0.04	0.30	0.34	16	-54	-39
Road policing	68	4	72	0.12	0.01	0.12		0.13	0.02	0.15	-8	-9	-17
Specialist operations	78	7	85	0.13	0.01	0.14		0.12	0.01	0.13	8	-1	7
Intelligence	52	41	93	0.09	0.07	0.16		0.08	0.07	0.15	6	0	6
Specialist investigations	62	40	102	0.10	0.07	0.17		0.18	0.07	0.26	-47	-3	-50
Investigative support	7	52	59	0.01	0.09	0.10		0.01	0.07	0.08	3	9	12
Criminal justice	18	109	127	0.03	0.18	0.21		0.06	0.20	0.26	-15	-10	-24
Support functions	72	267	339	0.12	0.45	0.58		0.08	0.39	0.47	26	35	61
Police authority	0	5	5	0.00	0.01	0.01		0.00	0.01	0.01	0	-1	-1
Central costs	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0
Sub-total	1,158	824	1,982	1.96	1.40	3.36		1.83	1.46	3.30	78	-38	40
National policing	76	11	87	0.13	0.02	0.15	[0.05	0.01	0.06	47	5	52
Total	1,233	835	2,069	2.09	1.42	3.51		1.88	1.52	3.40	125	-62	63

LEAVERS

2010-11

These charts show the percentage of the workforce that left the force in 2010/11; officers are broken down into those who transferred or left the service. Because of the current financial climate, we have costed the salary impact of staff leaving the service. However, PCSOs leaving forces may return as police officers.


NB: The leavers figures are FTE.



	Strength	Leavers	% Force	Avg	Salary £m
Police officers	1,309				
Exc transfers		50	3.8%	4.5%	
Transfers		4	0.3%	0.3%	
Leaving force		54	4.1%	4.7%	2.8
PCSO	148	8	5.4%	6.7%	0.2
Police staff	729	56	7.7%	9.4%	1.9
Force total	2,185	117	5.2%	6.3%	4.9



Source: ADR531 (as at 31/03/11) Source: ADR531 (as at 31/09/10) Source: ADR502 (as at 31/03/11)



Exc transfers


d e a

Transfers


h egd


JOINERS


2010-11




NB: The joiners figures are FTE.









	Strength	Joiners	%	Avg
Police officers	1,262	14	1.1%	1.7%
PCSO	139	0	0.0%	3.8%
Police staff	707	42	5.9%	3.7%
Overall	2,108	56	2.6%	2.5%

Source: ADR521 (as at 31/03/11 and 30/09/2010)

Gloucestershire

SICKNESS & OTHER LONG TERM ABSENCE March 2011

These charts show sickness & other long term absence broken down into absences of less than 28 days and 28 days or more (long term absence) which includes maternity leave, compassionate leave etc. Officers on restricted duties (i.e. officers who, because of a disability or other limiting factor, are unable to undertake the full range of operational duties) and recuperative duties (officers returning to work in a phased way after injury or illness) are not included in the absence figures. NB: The gaps towards the left of some charts indicate that data is not available or has not been included; absence above 12% of the workforce and zero absence have been excluded.


	Strength	FTE	%	Avg
Officer	s 1,262	:		
1	Long term absence	35	2.8%	2.9%
;	Sickness absence	19	1.5%	1.8%
PCSO	139)		
l	Long term absence	2	1.6%	2.8%
;	Sickness absence	3	2.2%	2.2%
Staff	707	•		
1	Long term absence	12	1.7%	3.3%
;	Sickness absence	11	1.6%	1.8%


Long term absence: 2010/11-Q4


Officers	1,262			
Recuperative		26	2.1%	2.2%
Restricted		74	5.9%	4.2%


Source: ADR 502, 551 and 554 (as at 31/03/11)

ADR 554 figures are headcount not FTE










Gloucestershire

POLICE OFFICERS LENGTH OF SERVICE


These charts show the number of officers by length of service.

A more detailed breakdown of 25 – 30 years is provided for planning purposes.



248

25 YEARS OR MORE



Source: ADR 582 (as at 31/03/11) Gloucestershire

147

204

147

1,305

Total

147

HMIC page 45

234


325

EXPENDITURE

2011/12 estimates £ per head of population

These charts give an overview of what policing in each force costs per head of population.


NB: the profiles calculate net revenue expenditure as total expenditure minus earned income to show the total cost of policing to the taxpayer. NB: that this is different from NRE as reported in POA data.





Population 589k


£m	£/head	Δνα	Diff. £m
4 111	Zilicau	Avg	Dill. Zill
66.1	112	113	-0.7
22.8	39	43	-2.3
4.7	8	7	0.4
93.5	159	163	-2.7
23.9	41	42	-0.6
-4.0	-7	-7	0.0
113.5	193	198	-3.2
	66.1 22.8 4.7 93.5 23.9 -4.0	66.1 112 22.8 39 4.7 8 93.5 159 23.9 41 -4.0 -7	66.1 112 113 22.8 39 43 4.7 8 7 93.5 159 163 23.9 41 42 -4.0 -7 -7

Total exc national 109.1 185 194 -5.3









Source: POA estimates 2011/12


Gloucestershire

POLICE OFFICERS

2011/12 estimates £ per head of population

These charts break down police officer costs into salary and overtime costs (OT). Police officer overtime costs are also shown as a percentage of the overall salary costs.

These figures, taken from POA, show the estimated FTE numbers for the year 2011/12. The data table compares these with Home Office published FTE figures (ADR601), which are a snapshot taken at 31/3/2011, and so not necessarily the same.





	£m	£/head	Avg	Diff. £m
Police officers (exc. OT)	63.8	108.3	109.6	-0.8
Police overtime	2.3	3.9	3.7	0.1
Total	66.1	112.2	113.4	-0.7


PO overtime % salary	% sal	Avg	Diff. £m
National functions	0.3%	0.1%	0.1
Other	3.3%	3.3%	0.0
Total	3.6%	3.4%	0.1

		Avg	Diff.	£m
FTE/1,000	2.09	2.14		1.5
£000/FTE	£51.7k	£51.2k	(0.6

Source: POA Statistics 2011/12 estimates and ADR601







3

2

Police officer FTE per 1,000

Budgeted FTE 2011/12 (POA)	1,233
FTE Mar 11 (ADR601)	1,262

Gloucestershire

POLICE STAFF AND PCSOs

2011/12 estimates £ per head of population

These charts break down police staff and PCSO costs into more detail. These figures, taken from POA, show the estimated FTE numbers for the year 2011/12. The data table compares these with Home Office published FTE figures (ADR601), which are a snapshot taken at 31/3/2011, and so not necessarily the same. Home Office FTE data also excludes temporary contract staff and traffic wardens while POA includes traffic wardens.

£m	£/head	Avg
23	38.7	42.6

Diff. £m
-2.3

		Avg
FTE/1,000	1.16	1.35
£000/FTE	33.2k	31.7k

Diff. £m	
-3.5	
1.0	

Budgeted FTE 2011/12 (POA)	685
FTE Mar 11 (ADR601)	707


PCSOs


£m	£/head	Avg	Diff. £m
4.7	7.9	7.3	0.4


		Avg	Diff. £m
FTE/1,000	0.25	0.25	0.1
£000/FTE	£31.1k	£29.5k	0.2


Source: POA estimates 2011/12


Budgeted FTE 2011/12 (POA)	150
FTE Mar 11 (ADR601)	139















Gloucestershire

NON-STAFF COSTS AS PERCENTAGE OF WORKFORCE COSTS

2011/12 estimates

These charts provide information about non-staff costs broken down into specific types of running costs. Non-staff costs are shown as a percentage of staff costs as non-staff costs are mainly dependent on the number of staff working for an organisation.

Third party payments include mutual aid from other police authorities, contributions to inter-authority services, transfer payments and national levies.




Staff costs	£94m
-------------	------


	£m	% staff	Avg	Diff. £m
Premises	4.5	4.8%	4.5%	0.2
Transport	2.3	2.4%	2.8%	-0.4
Supplies & services	11.6	12.4%	11.4%	0.9
Third party payments	0.7	0.7%	1.9%	-1.1
Other employee exps	1.9	2.1%	2.4%	-0.3
Non-staff costs	20.9	22.3%	22.9%	-0.6


Capital financing	3.0	3.2%	2.7%	0.5
Total	23.9	25.6%	25.7%	-0.1


Source: POA estimates 2011/12


Other employee expenses includes temporary & agency staff, and injury & ill health costs











Gloucestershire

FINANCING OF EXPENDITURE


2011/12 estimates £ per head of population


These charts show how the force funds its expenditure broken down into more detail using POA finance data.


Central funding is broken down into formula based funding, and government grants, which are not formula based.


Local funding is comprised of council tax and use of reserves.


Note: Police forces in Wales did not receive an increase in government grant for agreeing to freeze or reduce council tax.














Band D tax rate	Avg	Diff £m
£200	£162	8.4

Population 589k

	£m	£/head	Avg
Central funding			
Formula funding*	61	104	127
Specific grants	10	17	11
Local funding			
Council tax	45	76	58
Reserves (transfers)	-3	-4	1
Net revenue exp.	113	193	198

* Sum of Police Grant, Non-Domestic Rates, & Revenue Support Grant


Source: POA estimates 2011/12

0 £162 8.4 Gloucestershire

EARNED INCOME


2011/12 estimates £ per head of population


These charts break down information into different categories of 'earned' or external income using CIPFA finance data. This is the income removed from GRE in order to calculate NRE.





Population	589k
------------	------


	£m	£/head	Avg
Sales, fees, charges & rents	0.8	1.3	2.2
Reimbursed income	0.3	0.5	2.2
Partnership income	2.2	3.7	1.3
Special police services	0.7	1.2	0.8
Interest	0.0	0.0	0.2
Total earned income	4.0	6.7	6.8











Source: POA estimates 2011/12 Gloucestershire


HMIC page 51

Diff. £m

FUNDING SOURCE TRENDS

2008/09 - 2010/11 actuals and estimates for 2011/12 £ per head of population

These charts show how the financial position and funding of forces has changed since 2008/09. Estimates of reserves are unreliable. These figures are not adjusted for inflation



	Gloucestershire Average

£ per 1000	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	Increase
Central gov funding	122.4	124.9	126.5	120.7	-1%
Reserves	-7.4	-0.6	-1.0	-4.4	
Council tax	70.1	72.9	75.7	76.3	9%
Total funding	185.2	197.1	201.2	192.6	4%

Average	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	Increase
Central gov funding	144.1	143.4	145.2	138.9	-4%
Reserves	0.8	0.4	1.1	1.3	
Council tax	52.9	56.0	58.2	57.9	10%
Total funding	197.8	199.9	204.4	198.1	0%

Band D tax rate	£188	£194	£200	£200
Average	£152	£157	£162	£162

Source: CIPFA statistics; POA Statistics 2011/12 estimates


Gloucestershire


WORKFORCE BY FUNCTION


Budgeted FTE 2011/12 per 1,000


These charts show the workforce costs by function in terms of fte per 1,000 population. The underyling figures are displayed on pages 40 & 41.


For definitions of the workforce categories, please refer to Appendix 2.
























NET REVENUE EXP BY FUNCTION

Cost per head of population by function





Population	589k
Pobulation	589KI


			Averages			Diff	£m	
	£m	£/head	All	Group		All	Group	
- Local investigation	6.5	11.0	15.1	14.6		-2.4	-2.1	
- Other local policing	37.1	62.9	63.9	54.6		-0.6	4.9	
Local policing	43.6	73.9	79.0	69.2		-3.0	2.8	
Dealing with the public	6.6	11.1	12.1	12.3	- 1	-0.6	-0.7	
Criminal justice	6.4	10.9	13.1	11.4	- 1	-1.3	-0.3	
Road policing	3.8	6.5	6.4	7.0	- 1	0.1	-0.3	
Specialist operations	4.9	8.3	8.8	7.8	- 1	-0.3	0.3	
Intelligence	4.1	7.0	7.2	7.0		-0.1	-0.0	
Specialist investigations	5.6	9.6	14.8	14.0		-3.1	-2.6	<
Investigative support	3.4	5.7	5.8	4.8	- 1	-0.1	0.5	
Support functions	28.0	47.5	39.6	40.4	- 1	4.6	4.2	
Police authority	0.7	1.2	1.2	1.2	- 1	-0.0	-0.0	
Central costs	2.1	3.5	6.0	5.3		-1.5	-1.0	
Total exc national	109.1	185.1	194.1	180.3		-5.3	2.9	


 National policing
 4.4
 7.5
 4.0
 2.8
 2.1


 Total
 113.5
 192.6
 198.1
 183.1
 -3.2





















Source: POA estimates 2011/12

Gloucestershire

2.7 5.6

LOCAL POLICING

Objectives – cost per head of population by function.



Population	589k

			Aver	ages	Dif	f £m
	£m	£/head	All	Group	All	Grou
Neighbourhood/response	32.7	55.5	55.8	47.7	-0.2	4.
Local investigation	6.5	11.0	15.1	14.6	-2.4	-2
Specialist community liaison	2.1	3.6	4.4	3.3	-0.5	0.
Command team & support	2.3	3.8	3.7	3.6	0.1	0.
Total local policing	43.6	73.9	79.0	69.2	-3.0	2
Command team & support	2.3	3.8	3.7	3.6	0.1	C

% PO *	Αvg
86%	85%
99%	91%
65%	62%
53%	65%
85%	83%


Group 4.6 -2.1 0.2 0.2 2.8

Source: POA estimates 2011/12

^{*} PO salaries + overtime as % of gross expenditure

LOCAL POLICING

Use of resources



2120	Local policing
£100 -	lin.
£80 -	
£60 -	
£40 -	
£20 -	
£0 -	
	dagehbcf
-	

		Averages		
Staffing	FTE	FTE/1000	All	Group
Police officers	763	1.30	1.33	1.15
PCSOs	150	0.25	0.25	0.23
Police staff	26	0.04	0.11	0.13

Expenditure	£m	£/head	All	Group
PO salaries	37.9	64.3	65.2	55.8
PO overtime	1.2	2.1	2.1	1.7
PCSOs	4.7	7.9	7.3	6.8
Police staff	0.9	1.4	3.1	3.7
Non-staff costs	1.5	2.5	2.8	2.5
Income	-2.6	-4.3	-1.4	-1.3
Total cost	43.6	73.9	79.0	69.2

Cost/fte		All	Group
Police officers	£50k	£49k	£49k
PCSOs	£31k	£29k	£30k
Staff	£32k	£29k	£29k

All	Group
-0.5	5.0
0.0	0.3
0.4	0.7
-1.0	-1.4
-0.2	-0.0

-1.7

-3.0

Diff fte

Group

88

15

-48

-1.8

2.8


ΑII


-21


5


-36


All	Group
0.5	0.7
0.2	0.2
0.1	0.1


















DEALING WITH THE PUBLIC

Objectives - cost per head of population by function









Population 589k

Averages

				·
	£m	£/head	All	Group
Central communications unit	3.6	6.1	8.3	9.0
Local call centres/front desk	1.1	1.9	2.3	2.0
Contact management units	1.5	2.6	1.3	1.1
Command team & support	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.2
Total dealing with the public	6.6	11.1	12.1	12.3

Source: POA estimates 2011/12


Diff £m


All	Group
-1.3	-1.7
-0.2	-0.0
0.8	0.9
0.2	0.2
-0.6	-0.7


% PO	Avg
38%	21%
52%	10%
0%	33%
89%	67%
34%	22%

DEALING WITH THE PUBLIC

Use of resources







Averages

Staffing	FTE	FTE/1000	All	Group
Police officers	38	0.06	0.05	0.04
Police Staff	124	0.21	0.29	0.30

All	Group
10	16
-46	-54

Expenditure	£m	£/head	All	Group
Police officers	2.2	3.8	2.7	2.3
Police staff +PCSO	4.2	7.2	9.1	9.5
Non-staff costs	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.7
Income	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1
Total cost	6.6	11.1	12.1	12.3

All	Group	
0.6	0.9	
-1.1	-1.4	
-0.1	-0.3	
0.0	0.1	
-0.6	-0.7	

Cost/fte		All	Group
Police officers	£57k	£56k	£60k
Police Staff	£34k	£31k	£31k


All	Group	
0.1	-0.1	
0.3	0.3	


999 CALLS 2010/11

0

Data taken from ADR 441, showing the number of calls per 1,000 population and per staff within central communications units (CCU), and also within CCU + Front Desk combined to account for differences in force structure.

Cost per call is calculated using the same function.





h

df


c a ge





b

Source: ADR 441

Central Communications Unit only









FTE Staff	82
Gross cost	£3.6m


		Avg
FTE/1000	0.14	0.22
Calls per FTE	820	783
Calls per 1000	114	141
Calls per 1000	114	141

Cost per call	£53	£61
---------------	-----	-----

CCU + Front Desk







FTE Staff	114
Gross cost	£4.7m


).19 (0.29
588	544
114	141
	588

Cost per call	£70	£77
---------------	-----	-----

Gloucestershire

CRIMINAL JUSTICE ARRANGEMENTS

Objectives - cost per head of population by function




Population 589k

			AV	erages
	£m	£/head	All	Group
Custody / prisoner handling	3.0	5.2	6.8	5.9
Criminal justice	2.1	3.6	3.9	3.0
Police national computer	0.3	0.5	0.8	0.7
CIU/ criminal record bureau	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.5
Property officer / stores	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2
Coroner assistance	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
Fixed penalty scheme	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2
Command Team & Support	0.4	0.8	0.4	0.7
Total criminal justice arrangements	6.4	10.9	13.1	11.4


	~
All	Group
-0.9	-0.4
-0.2	0.3
-0.2	-0.1
-0.1	-0.0
0.0	0.0
-0.1	-0.1
-0.0	-0.0
0.2	0.0
-1.3	-0.3


Diff	£m		
\II	Group	% PO	Avg
-0.9	-0.4	30%	44%
-0.2	0.3	2%	19%
-0.2	-0.1	0%	1%
-0.1	-0.0	0%	1%
0.0	0.0	0%	0%
-0.1	-0.1	na	8%
-0.0	-0.0	0%	3%
0.2	0.0	39%	51%
-1.3	-0.3	18%	29%

CRIMINAL JUSTICE ARRANGEMENTS Use of resources



£10 -				Police	officers
£8 -					
£6 -					
£4 -		-			
£2 -				Ш	
£0 -					
	С		g de	f	h ba





h ec

			Aver	ages
Staffing	FTE F	TE/1000	All	Group
Police officers	18	0.03	0.07	0.06
Police Staff	109	0.18	0.23	0.20

Expenditure	£m	£/head	All	Group
Police officers	1.1	1.9	4.0	3.2
Police staff +PCSO	3.1	5.2	6.5	5.6
Non-staff costs	2.3	3.9	3.1	2.9
Income	-0.1	-0.2	-0.5	-0.3
Total cost	6.4	10.9	13.1	11.4

Cost/fte		All	Group
Police officers	£63k	£54k	£57k
Police Staff	£28k	£27k	£28k

Diff £m		
All	Group	
-1.2	-0.8	
-0.7	-0.3	
0.5	0.6	
0.2	0.1	
-1.3	-0.3	
Diff £m		

Diff fte

-25

-30

Group

-15

-10

£1

שווו בווו			
	All	Group	
	0.1	0.1	
	0.1	0.0	

Source: POA estimates 2011/12 HMIC


d g


CRIMINAL JUSTICE

Staffing and cost compared to charges

These charts show the NRE cost of criminal justice (as opposed to criminal justice arrangements) per 100 charges. FTE within the criminal justice function is then shown per 100 charges.

Crimes stated are those recorded on Crimesec3






Charges 4,16	32
--------------	----


		per 100 charges	Avg
Criminal justice FTE	77	1.9	1.2
Criminal justice cost	£2.1m	£51k	£34k


Diff	
27	
£0.7m	<<


SPECIALIST OPERATIONS

Objectives – cost per head of population by function










92% 91% 84% 29% 65% 63% 79% 80% 72%



Population 589k

_			Aver	ages
	£m	£/head	All	Group
Firearms unit	1.8	3.0	3.1	3.5
Dogs section	0.9	1.4	1.4	1.4
Lev 1 adv public order	0.9	1.6	1.3	0.6
Air operations	0.5	0.9	1.0	0.9
Civil contingencies	0.4	0.7	0.7	0.6
Specialist terrain	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
Mounted police	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
Airports & ports	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0
Command team & support	0.4	0.7	0.7	0.8
Total specialist operations	4.9	8.3	8.8	7.8


٩II	Group	% PO
-0.1	-0.3	90%
0.0	0.1	94%
0.2	0.6	95%
-0.1	-0.0	32%
0.0	0.1	72%
-0.1	-0.0	na
-0.1	0.0	na
-0.2	-0.0	na
-0.0	-0.1	47%
-0.3	0.3	81%

£2.5 -	Command team & support
£2.0 -	overheads
£1.5 -	Illi.
£1.0 -	lillian.
£0.5 -	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
£0.0 -	
	c ebfha d g

Staffing	FTE
Firearms unit	33
Dogs section	16
Lev 1 adv public order	21

SPECIALIST OPERATIONS

Use of resources



	Ave	erages
$\overline{}$		

8.8

7.8

Staffing	FTE	FTE/1000	All	Group
Police officers	78	0.13	0.14	0.12
Police Staff	7	0.01	0.02	0.01

Diff	ŧt€

All	Group	
-5	8	
-4	-1	
Diff £m		

Expenditure	£m	£/head	All	Group
PO salaries	4.0	6.7	7.3	6.3
PO overtime	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.2
Police staff	0.2	0.3	0.6	0.5
Non-staff costs	0.8	1.3	1.3	1.2
Income	-0.2	-0.4	-0.8	-0.4

8.3

All	Group
-0.4	0.2
-0.0	0.0
-0.2	-0.1
0.0	0.1
0.3	0.0
-0.3	0.3

Cost/fte		All	Group
Police officers	£51k	£53k	£54k
Police Staff	£25k	£31k	£32k


4.9

Diff £m

All	Group
-0.1	-0.2
-0.0	-0.0

Source: POA estimates 2011/12

Total cost



	£m	:

	£m	£/head	All	Group
Intelligence gathering	2.8	4.8	3.3	3.9
Intelligence analysis/threat assessments	1.1	1.9	3.5	2.7
Command team & support	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.4
Total intelligence	4.1	7.0	7.2	7.0

589k

Di	Diff £m			
All	All Group			
0.9	0.5			
-0.9	-0.5			
-0.1	-0.1			
-0.1	-0.0			

Averages


% PO	Avg
86%	72%
15%	49%
100%	66%
67%	62%

Source: POA estimates 2011/12

Population

INTELLIGENCE

Use of resources



^				
4	Ve	ra	ME	35

			, , , , , ,	uguu
Staffing	FTE	FTE/1000	All	Group
Police officers	52	0.09	0.09	0.08
Police staff	41	0.07	0.07	0.07

Diff fte

All	Group
0	6
1	0

Diff £m

£m	£/head	All	Group
2.8	4.7	4.6	4.2
1.1	1.9	2.1	2.2
0.2	0.4	0.6	0.6
-0.0	-0.0	-0.1	-0.0
4.1	7.0	7.2	7.0
	2.8 1.1 0.2 -0.0	2.8 4.7 1.1 1.9 0.2 0.4 -0.0 -0.0	2.8 4.7 4.6 1.1 1.9 2.1 0.2 0.4 0.6 -0.0 -0.0 -0.1

All	Group
0.0	0.3
-0.1	-0.2
-0.1	-0.1
0.1	0.0
-0.1	-0.0


Diff £m


Cost/fte		All	Group
Police officers	£52k	£51k	£52k
Police staff	£26k	£30k	£31k


	4111
All	Group
0.0	-0.0
-0.2	-0.2


SPECIALIST INVESTIGATIONS


Objectives – cost per head of population by function














Population	589k
------------	------

			AV	erages
	£m	£/head	All	Group
Public protection	2.7	4.65	5.92	5.75
Major investigations unit	0.8	1.42	3.93	3.34
Serious/organised crime unit	0.7	1.20	1.91	1.82
Economic crime	0.5	0.80	1.12	0.86
Specialist investigation units	0.0	-	0.90	1.08
Command team & support	0.9	1.49	0.99	1.11
Total	5.6	9.56	14.77	13.97


Diff £m

	Group	All
	-0.7	-0.7
<<	-1.1	-1.5
	-0.4	-0.4
	-0.0	-0.2
	-0.6	-0.5
	0.2	0.3
<<	-2.6	-3.1


% PO	Avg
83%	80%
27%	73%
91%	77%
0%	59%
na	54%
73%	60%
65%	75%


SPECIALIST INVESTIGATIONS

Use of resources



£25 -]					PO sa	alaries
£20 -							
£15 -	l						
£10 -							
£5 -							
£0 -							
		c f	d	g	е	h b	а
			•		•	•	





Averages

Staffing	FTE F	TE/1000	All	Group
Police officers	62	0.10	0.20	0.18
Police staff	40	0.07	0.08	0.07

	Diff fte				
	All	Group			
Г	-58	-47			
	-5	-3			
	Diff £m				

All

Group

Expenditure	£m	£/head	All	Group
PO salaries	3.5	5.9	10.78	10.00
PO overtime	0.3	0.5	0.68	0.66
Police staff	1.1	1.9	2.32	2.08
Non-staff costs	0.9	1.5	1.34	1.43
Income	-0.2	-0.3	-0.35	-0.21
Total cost	5.6	9.6	14.77	13.97


					Diff £	m
otal cost	5.6	9.6	14.77	13.97	-3.1	-2.6
come	-0.2	-0.3	-0.35	-0.21	0.1	-0.0
on-staff costs	0.9	1.5	1.34	1.43	0.1	0.0
olice staff	1.1	1.9	2.32	2.08	-0.2	-0.1
O overtime	0.3	0.5	0.68	0.66	-0.1	-0.1
O salaries	3.5	5.9	10.78	10.00	-2.9	-2.4


Cost/fte		All	Group
Police officers	£56k	£53k	£54k
Police staff	£28k	£30k	£28k


DIII ZIII			
Group			
0.1			
-0.0			


INVESTIGATIVE SUPPORT

Objectives – cost per head of population by function









Population 589k

			Aver	ages
	£m	£/head	All	Group
External forensic costs	0.9	1.48	1.95	1.39
Scenes of crime officers	1.0	1.69	1.73	1.61
Fingerprint/DNA bureau	0.4	0.63	0.73	0.63
Photographic image recovery	0.3	0.48	0.31	0.28
Other forensic services	0.7	1.19	0.91	0.76
Command team & support	0.1	0.22	0.21	0.12
Total investigative support	3.4	5.69	5.84	4.78
TCourse: DOA actimates 2011/1	2			

Diff £m

0.0

0.0

0.1

0.3 0.1

0.5

AII -0.3

-0.0 -0.1

0.1

0.2


0.0

-0.1

	% PO	Avg
	0%	2%
	15%	6%
	0%	1%
	0%	2%
	31%	21%
	0%	8%
1	11%	7%

INVESTIGATIVE SUPPORT

Use of resources



Staffing	FTE FTE/1000		All	Group
Police officers	7	0.01	0.01	0.01
Police staff	52	0.09	0.09	0.07

All	Group
2	3
1	9
Dif	f £m

Expenditure	£m	£/head	Avg	Avg
PO salaries	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.4
Police staff	2.0	3.3	3.0	2.7
Non-staff costs	1.0	1.7	2.4	1.8
Income	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0
Total cost	3.4	5.7	5.8	4.8


All	Group			
0.1	0.2			
0.2	0.4			
-0.4	-0.0			
0.0	0.0			
-0.1	0.5			
Diff £m				


Cost/fte		Avg	Avg
Police officers	£51k	£52k	£55k
Police staff	£37k	£35k	£36k


Dill Zill			
All	Group		
-0.0	-0.0		
0.1	0.0		

SUPPORT FUNCTIONS


Objectives – cost per head of population by function




















Population	589
Population	589

			Averages		Diff £m		
	£m	£/head	All	Group	All	Group	
Estates/building costs	6.6	11.2	8.4	8.2	1.7	1.8	<<
ICT	5.9	10.1	8.0	8.7	1.2	0.8	<<
Training	3.2	5.4	4.7	5.2	0.5	0.1	
Fleet services	1.7	3.0	3.1	3.5	-0.1	-0.3	
Administration support	2.0	3.4	2.4	2.1	0.6	0.7	
Finance	1.0	1.7	1.4	1.3	0.2	0.2	
Human resources	1.5	2.5	2.6	2.6	-0.1	-0.1	
Performance review	2.1	3.6	2.4	2.4	0.7	0.7	<<
Professional standards	0.9	1.6	1.4	1.3	0.1	0.2	
All other	3.0	5.1	5.3	5.2	-0.1	-0.1	
Total	28.0	47.5	39.6	40.4	4.6	4.2	








Source: POA estimates 2011/12

Gloucestershire

SUPPORT FUNCTIONS


Use of resources





Source: POA estimates 2011/12


SUPPORT FUNCTIONS


These charts provide a detailed breakdown of support service functions as a cost per FTE and a percentage of total NRE.


















Avg Diff £m



Total FTE	2,069
Total NRE	£113m

	Cost £m	per FTE	Avg	Diff £m
Human resources	1.46	1.46 £706		0.0
Finance	0.98	£475	£368	0.2
ICT	5.94	£2,873	£2,187	1.4
Training	3.19	£1,543	£1,255	0.6
2 504 // 2 20////2				

1.3% 1.3% -0.0 0.9% 0.7% 0.2 5.2% 4.1% 1.3 2.8% 2.4% 0.5


% NRE

Source: POA estimates 2011/12


Gloucestershire

NATIONAL POLICING

Objectives – cost per head of population by function




NATIONAL POLICING Use of resources



Source: POA estimates 2011/12

Gloucestershire

CENTRAL COSTS



Population 589k

	•		Avera	ages	Diff £m		
	£m	£/head	All	Group	All	Group	
Pensions & exit costs	0.9	1.52	2.61	1.96	-0.6	-0.3	
Capital financing	0.8	1.31	2.40	2.42	-0.6	-0.7	
Revenue to capital	0.4	0.71	1.01	0.91	-0.2	-0.1	
Total central costs	2.1	3.54	6.03	5.29	-1.5	-1.0	

Source: POA estimates 2011/12

POTENTIAL TO INCREASE FRONTLINE

This table uses POA data to look at differences in the proportions of workforce that are police staff or PCSOs compared to the proportion that are officers. For each POA category the percentage of workforce who are staff or PCSOs in your force are compared to levels in other forces.

This comparison is done twice:

- 1) Comparing your force to the median percentage (is the % of staff who are police staff/PCSOs in the force with 'middle' performance (i.e. 21 forces have a higher % and 21 forces have a lower %)
- 2) comparing your force to the upper quartile (the % in the force which only a quarter of forces 'do better than' so represents forces with higher proportions of police staff/PCSOs in these functions)

The number of posts this change represents to your force is also calculated, along with the cost of this difference. This cost of difference is based on the number of posts and the difference between the average (median) cost per office and average (median) cost per police staff/PCSO. These average costs include overtime but exclude agency staff and are calculated separately for each of the chosen POA categories.

The costs of difference are included for indicative purposes only – in particular they are not 'potential savings' (as it is unlikely that the full differences could be realised).

The analysis focuses on thirteen POA categories where our analysis found significant costs of differences, where cost and staffing data was submitted by all/most forces and where there was some consistency of approach across different forces. The analysis does not include Specials or look at the 'Local Policing' POA category (where most Specials are counted and where a different analytical approach would be required).


Note: If total staff numbers per population in a particular category are less than a quarter of the national median for that category then the relevant rows in the table are left blank. This is because such low numbers either indicate a problem with the data or that the relevant function may be contracted out (and hence the calculations are not applicable).

			Difference			Difference from UQ		
	%Staff/	National	from median	Cost of	National	(no. of	Cost of	Difference in
	PCSOs	median %	(no. of posts)	difference	UQ %	posts)	difference	median pay
Local Call Centres / Front Desk	64%	100%	12	£276k	100%	12	£276k	23,781
Central Communications Unit	72%	88%	14	£349k	93%	17	£433k	25,304
Contact Management Units	100%	99%	0	£0k	100%	0	£0k	21,518
Criminal Justice	99%	92%	0	£0k	96%	0	£0k	26,636
Traffic Units	4%	7%	2	£35k	11%	4	£82k	21,561
Intelligence Analysis / Threat Assessments	91%	61%	0	£0k	73%	0	£0k	23,852
Intelligence Gathering	19%	23%	2	£48k	34%	8	£172k	22,210
Scenes of Crime Officers	87%	100%	3	£58k	100%	3	£58k	18,382
Other Forensic Services	71%	86%	2	£46k	100%	4	£88k	21,957
Human Resources	100%	97%	0	£0k	100%	0	£0k	30,269
Training	33%	44%	6	£127k	54%	12	£232k	19,264
Administration Support	100%	100%	0	£0k	100%	0	£0k	32,665
Grand Total			41	£939k		59	£1,340k	

Total CoD per population	1.6	2.3

DATA RECORDING

Crimes per 1,000 population



This chart compares crime rates for robbery and theft from person. Forces with low levels of robbery, but relatively high levels of theft from a person, or high levels of robbery, but relatively low levels of other theft from a person should assure themselves that guidance on coding is being properly adhered to.

Appendix 1 - Crime Codes

Violence against the Person

Violence	with injury
1	Murder
4.2	Infanticide
4.1	Manslaughter
2	Attempted murder
37.1	Causing death by aggravated vehicle taking
4.1	Corporate manslaughter
4.3	Intentional destruction of a viable unborn child
4.4	Causing death by dangerous driving
4.6	Causing death by careless driving under influence of drink or drugs
4.7	Causing or allowing death of child or vulnerable person
4.8	Causing death by careless or inconsiderate driving
4.4/6	Historic code
4.4/6/8	Historic code
4.9	Causing death by driving: unlicensed drivers etc.
5A	Wounding or carrying out an act endangering life (GBH with intent)
5B	Use of substance or object to endanger life
8F	Inflicting grievous bodily harm without intent
8G	Actually bodily harm and other injury
8A	Less serious wounding
8D	Racially or religiously aggravated less serious wounding
5	Wounding or other act endangering life
8H	Racially or religiously aggravated inflicting grievous bodily harm without intent
8J	Racially or religiously aggravated actual bodily harm and other injury
8K	Poisoning or female genital mutilation
Violence	without Injury (excl crime prevention and public order offences, inc kidnapping
8C	Historic – harassment and public fear
8E	Historic – harassment and public fear (RRA)
8M	Racially or religiously aggravated harassment
8L	Harassment
7	Endangering life at sea
6	Endangering railway passengers
3	Threat or conspiracy to murder
3B	Threats to kill
3A	Conspiracy to murder
36	Kidnapping
14	Procuring illegal abortion
13	Child abduction

Abandoning child under two yearsCruelty to and neglect of children

105B Racially or religiously aggravated assault without injury

105A Assault without injury

104 Assault without injury on a constable

Sexual offences

Rape

19A Rape of a female 19B Rape of a male 19C Rape of a female aged 16 and over 19D Rape of a female child under 16 19E Rape of a female child under 13 19F Rape of a male aged 16 and over 19G Rape of a male child under 16 19H Rape of a male child under 13

Other sexual offences (Excluding Rape)

17A Sexual assault on a male aged 13 and over 17B Sexual assault on a male child under 13 20A Sexual assault on a female aged 13 and over 20B Sexual assault on a female child under 13 21 Sexual activity involving a child under 13 22A Causing sexual activity without consent 22B Sexual activity involving child under 16 23 Incest or familial sexual offences

70 Sexual activity etc with a person with a mental disorder

71 Abuse of children through prostitution and pornography

72 Trafficking for sexual exploitation

Abuse of position of trust of a sexual nature

88A Sexual grooming

88C Other miscellaneous sexual offences

88D Unnatural sexual offences 88E Exposure and voyeurism

16 Buggery

17 Indecent assault on a male
18 Gross indecency between males
20 Indecent assault on a female

22 Unlawful sexual intercourse with a girl under 16

25 Abduction of female

74 Gross indecency with a child

139 Indecent exposure

Stealing

Burglary	
	Domestic Burglary
28	Domestic Burglary
28A	Burglary in a dwelling
28B	Attempted burglary in a dwelling
28C	Distraction burglary in a dwelling
28D	Attempted distraction burglary in a dwelling
29	Aggravated burglary in a dwelling
	Non Domestic Burglary
30	Historic code
30A	Burglary in a building other than a dwelling
30B	Attempted burglary in a building other than a dwelling
31	Aggravated burglary in a building other than a dwelling
30	Historic code
	Robbery personal
34B	Robbery of personal property
	Robbery Business
34A	Robbery of business property
	Vehicle crime inc interference
37.2	Aggravated vehicle taking
48	Theft or unauthorised taking of motor vehicle
126	Interfering with a motor vehicle
45	Theft from vehicle
Other stea	aling
39	Theft from the person
40	Theft in a dwelling other than from an automatic machine or meter
44	Theft or unauthorised taking of a pedal cycle
49	Other theft
35	Blackmail
41	Theft by an employee
42	Theft of mail
43	Dishonest use of electricity
47	Theft from automatic machine or meter
	Shoplifting
46	Shoplifting

Crimina	Damage & Arson
56	
56A	Arson endangering life
56B	Arson not endangering life
58A	Criminal damage to a dwelling
58B	Criminal damage to a building other than a dwelling
58C	Criminal damage to a vehicle
58D	Other criminal damage
58E	Racially or religiously aggravated criminal damage to a dwelling
58F	Racially or religiously aggravated criminal damage to a building other than a dwelling
58G	Racially or religiously aggravated criminal damage to a vehicle
58H	Racially or religiously aggravated other criminal damage
	No. 1 and 1

Public Disorder

9A Public fear, alarm or distress

9B Racially or religiously aggravated public fear, alarm or distress

64 Riot

65 Violent disorder

Other offences against the State or public order 66

62 Treason

Treason -felony 63

Drugs Offences

Drug Trafficking

Trafficking in controlled drugs 92A

Possession or using drugs

92C	Other drug offences
92D	Possession of controlled drugs (excl. Cannabis)
92E	Possession of controlled drugs (Cannabis)
92B	Historic code Possession of controlled drugs

90

Crimes disrupted 8B Historic code – possession of weapons (needs its own row) 5C Possession of items to endanger life 10A Possession of firearms with intent 10B Possession of firearms offences Possession of other weapons 10C 10D Possession of article with blade or point 33 Going equipped for stealing, etc 53J Possession of articles for use in fraud 54 Handling stolen goods 59 Threat or possession with intent to commit criminal damage 61A Possession of false documents

HMIC page 82

Other knife offences

Other non victim based 15 Concealing an infant death close to birth 26 Bigamy 55 Bankruptcy and insolvency 67 Perjury 68 Libel 75 Betting, gaming and lotteries 76 Aiding suicide 78 **Immigration Acts** 79 Perverting the course of justice 80 Absconding from lawful custody 81 Other firearms offences 82 Customs and Revenue offences 83 Bail offences 84 Trade descriptions etc Health and Safety offences 85 86 Obscene publications etc 87 Protection from eviction 89 Adulteration of food 91 Public health offences 94 Planning laws 95 Disclosure, obstruction, false or misleading statements etc 99 Other notifiable offences (class 98/99) Dangerous driving 802

814

Fraud, forgery etc associated with vehicle or driver records

27 Soliciting for the purposes of prostitution

24 Exploitation of prostitution

38 Profiting from or concealing knowledge of the proceeds of crime

69 Offender management act

53H Making or supplying articles for use in fraud

Excluded - Fraud and forgery

51	Fraud	by	company	director

52 False accounting

53B Preserved other fraud and repealed fraud offences (pre Fraud Act 2006)

53C Fraud by false representation: cheque, plastic card and online bank accounts (not PSP)

53D Fraud by false representation: other frauds 53E Fraud by failing to disclose information

53F Fraud by abuse of position

60 Forgery or use of false drug prescription

61 Other forgery

Cheque and credit card fraud (pre Fraud Act 2006) 53A 53G Obtaining services dishonestly (to be discontinued)

Appendix 2 - POA Categories

POA data are split into 12 categories, which sub-divide into headings as follows:

1) Local Policing

- a. Neighbourhood Policing
- b. Incident (Response) Management
- c. Local Investigation
- d. Specialist Community Liaison
- e. Local Command Team and Support Overheads

2) Dealing with the Public

- a. Local Call Centres / Front Desk
- b. Central Communications Unit
- c. Contact Management Units
- d. Dealing with the Public Command Team and Support Overheads

3) Criminal Justice Arrangements

- a. Custody / Prisoner Handling
- b. Criminal Justice
- c. Police National Computer
- d. Criminal Record Bureau
- e. Coroner Assistance
- f. Fixed Penalty Schemes (Central Ticket Office)
- g. Property Officer / Stores
- h. Criminal Justice Arrangements Command Team and Support Overheads

4) Road Policing

- a. Traffic Units
- b. Traffic wardens / Police Community Support Officers Traffic
- c. Vehicle Recovery
- d. Casualty Reduction Partnership
- e. Road policing Command Team and Support Overheads

5) Specialist Operations

- a. Central Operations Command Team and Support Overheads
- b. Air Operations
- c. Mounted Police
- d. Specialist Terrain
- e. Dogs Section
- f. Level 1 Advanced Public Order
- g. Airport & Ports Policing Unit
- h. Firearms Unit
- i. Civil Contingencies and Planning

6) Intelligence

- a. Central Intelligence Command Team and Support Overheads
- b. Intelligence Analysis / Threat Assessments
- c. Intelligence Gathering

7) Specialist Investigations

- a. Crime Support Command Team and Support Overheads
- b. Major Investigation Unit
- c. Economic Crime (including Regional Asset Recovery Team)
- d. Specialist Investigation Units
- e. Serious & Organised Crime Unit
- f. Public Protection

8) Investigative Support

- a. Scenes of Crime Officers
- b. External Forensic Costs
- c. Fingerprint / DNA Bureau
- d. Photographic Image Recovery
- e. Other Forensic Services
- f. Investigative Support Command Team and Support Overheads

continued overleaf

9) National Policing

- a. Secondments (out of force)
- b. Counter Terrorism / Special Branch
- c. ACPO Projects / Initiatives
- d. Hosting National Services
- e. Other National Policing Requirements

10) Support Functions

- a. Human Resources
- b. Finance
- c. Legal
- d. Fleet Services
- e. Estates / Central Building Costs
- f. Information Communication Technology
- g. Professional Standards
- h. Press and Media
- i. Performance Review / Corporate Development
- j. Procurement
- k. Training
- l. Administration Support
- m. Force Command
- n. Support to Associations and Trade Unions
- o. Freedom of Information
- p. Social Club Support and Force band
- q. Insurance / Risk Management
- r. Catering

11) Police Authority

- a. Democratic Representation
- b. Police Authority Support
- c. Other Costs
- d. Treasury Management
- e. Internal Audit

12) Central Costs

- a. Revenue Contribution to Capital
- b. Capital Financing
- c. Pensions and Exit Costs